

2026:PHHC:047796



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3436-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision :25.03.2026

SATNAM SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Gurleen Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-12341-2026

The present application has been filed by the applicant-
petitioner for revival of the CRM-M-3436-2026 which was dismissed for
non-prosecution on 12.03.2026.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed and the main case is restored to its original number.

CRM-M-3436-2026

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR
No.0205 dated 22.07.2025, registered under Section 420 of IPC, 1860 at
Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, District Bathinda along with all
consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a
compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 22.01.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 18.12.2025(P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 22.01.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda and as per the report dated 07.03.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.0205 dated 22.07.2025, registered under Section 420 of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, District Bathinda along

with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed
qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No