



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.136

CR-5609-2006 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.03.2026

KRISHAN LAL

....Petitioner

Versus

RAM CHANDER

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- Mr. Dhruv Gupta and Ms. Titiksha, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the two affirmatory orders, passed by learned Rent Controller, which was further affirmed by the Appellate Authority, with regard to the eviction order, on the ground of personal necessity.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner/tenant submits that the petitioner is ready to vacate the tenanted premises and seeks four months time, from today onwards, to vacate the same.

This offer made is acceptable to the counsel for the respondent/landlord.

In view of the amicable settlement, so reached, to vacate the demised premises, it is hereby ordered that the petitioner shall vacate the demised premises, within a period of four months from today onwards i.e. by 25.07.2026, and handover the vacant possession of the same to the respondent/landlord.



In view of the undertaking, so given and the consensual order, passed, on the basis of consensus reached between the parties, at this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to pursue with the present revision petition and the same be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

However, the petitioner shall remain bound by the statement, so made, by his counsel, at his behest.

25.03.2026
Sonu Saini

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No