



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(248)

CR-5467-2017

Date of decision: - 02.02.2026

Phoolo Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Bijja (since deceased) through LRs and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Kamboj, Advocate
for Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has arisen from an order passed in the year 2017 in a suit for declaration with a consequential relief of mandatory injunction with possession and permanent injunction. A perusal of the paper-book would show that there is no stay in favour of the petitioner.
2. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Today again, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to inform the Court as to whether the petitioner wishes to pursue the present revision petition or not.
3. Accordingly, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case she wants to pursue the present revision petition or in case any cause survives.
4. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the petitioner at the address given in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 02, 2026
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No