



**140 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-547-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 30.04.2026**

PRAMVIR SINGH

...Appellant

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This appeal arises out of the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 19.12.2025, whereby the claim of the appellant for compassionate appointment in the land-losers category has been rejected. The primary reason on which the claim has been rejected is that the petition itself suffered from unexplained laches.

2. It is not in dispute that the land in question belonged to the appellant's father, which was acquired in the year 2006. The land acquisition proceedings were concluded and amount of compensation due under the Act was granted. The policy relied upon for grant of compassionate appointment is of the year 2014. The claim for compassionate appointment has been raised for the first time in September, 2025. The writ petition came to be filed in the year 2025 seeking benefit of the said policy. The land has been acquired in the year

2006 and was put to use much earlier.

3. In such circumstances, similar issue has already been dismissed on 26.08.2026 by this Court in **LPA-1474-2025, titled as '*Sanjeev Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others*'**, against which the Special Leave Petition has also been dismissed.

4. In that view of the matter, we do not find any good ground to take a different view from what we have already taken in the aforesaid case.

5. The Letters Patent Appeals are accordingly ***dismissed***.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

30.04.2026

neeraj	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No