

2026:PHHC:017835



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**218**

**CRM-M-3055-2026**  
**Date of Decision: 04.02.2026**

**JASWANT SINGH**

... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.**

**\*\*\*\***

Present: Mr. Harbir Singh Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

**\*\*\*\***

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.300 dated 20.10.2025 under Sections 21(c) and 29/61/85 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially the name of the petitioner was not mentioned in the FIR and he has been implicated in the instant case solely on the basis of a disclosure statement made by his co-accused Arshdeep Singh, from whom 3.716 Kgs of Heroin was recovered. It is further submitted that the alleged disclosure statement was suffered by Arshdeep Singh while he was in police custody and no independent witness has been joined by the police while recording his disclosure statement. Hence, the same is inadmissible in the eyes of law. He further contends that apart from the said disclosure statement, there is no other evidence or material to connect the

petitioner with the alleged offence. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and to abide by the terms and conditions as may be imposed by the Court.

3. Larned State Counsel, on the other hand, has filed reply on behalf of the State and opposed the present petition on the ground that the allegations levelled against the petitioner and his role in the commission of the alleged offence are very grave and serious. He submits that as per the disclosure statement of co-accused Arshdeep Singh, the contraband was arranged from Pakistan with the help of a drone. He further submits that although no recovery was effected from the present petitioner at the time of his apprehension, but the investigation has revealed incriminating material which indicates towards the direct involvement of the present petitioner in the alleged offence. Hence, he submits that in order to carry out further investigation in a proper manner and to reveal the truth, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much essential. Therefore, he prays for dismissal of the present petition.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the respective parties and have gone through the documents and other material available on record with their able assistance.

5. A careful perusal of the reply filed by the State clearly indicates that till date no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner, and at this stage, there is no other evidence or material, except the disclosure statement of co-accused Arshdeep, to connect the present petitioner with the alleged offence. It has also not come on record that the petitioner is involved or wanted in any other case. The entire career of the petitioner, who is a young boy of 21

years, would be at stake due to the instant criminal proceedings. Hence, this Court deems it fit to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in the instant case. However, the petitioner is directed to join and cooperate in the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Agency/Officer. In the event of the petitioner joining investigation, he shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting/investigating officer on his furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds to his satisfaction subject to the petitioner abiding by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

**FEBRUARY 04, 2026.**  
*Rajender*

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No