



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-3038-2026

LAXMAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 16.03.2026

Date of uploading:16.03.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ashish Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.152 dated 09.10.2025, registered under Sections 18, 27(A)/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station GRP Hisar, District Hisar.

2. On 21.01.2026, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.152 dated 09.10.2025, registered under Sections 18, 27(A)/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985, at Police Station GRP Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as he was neither present at the time of recovery nor any recovery has been effected from him, however, he has been roped in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused from whom 838 grams of opium was recovered. It is submitted that the disclosure statement of a co-accused is not admissible in evidence and even otherwise, the main accused from whom the alleged recovery of opium was



effected, has already been enlarged on regular bail by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Fast Track, Special Court, NDPS Act, Hisar vide its order dated 01.12.2025. It is submitted that as no prima facie case is made out against the petitioner, thus, he deserves concession of anticipatory bail as petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to have instructions.

Adjourned to 16.03.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer.

The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.):-

(i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the stance of the State, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he being arrayed as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 21.01.2026, in light of the dicta of the judgment passed by this Court in **CRM-M-54032-2024 ‘Ashu Vs. State of Punjab’** and recent judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court passed in **‘Jugraj Singh Vs. State of Punjab’** bearing **Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.9190/2025**.

5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 21.01.2026, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made

absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No