



**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-585-2026

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

SHOUKAT ALI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

MOHAMMAD SHABIR

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 151 of CPC seeking issuance of directions to the learned Appellate Authority, Malerkotla to expedite and conclude the proceedings in case bearing No.RA-26-2024 (Annexure P-2) titled *Mohammad Shabir Vs. Shoukat Ali and others*, which is pending before the Court of learned Additional District Judge/Appellate Authority, Malerkotla, within a time-bound period.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the material on record.

3. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondent is dispensed with, as it would only



delay the proceedings and cause unnecessary expenditure to the respondent.

4. Case of petitioners is that the petitioners had instituted an eviction petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 against the respondent/tenant seeking his eviction on the ground of personal necessity, besides other grounds. The petition was accepted vide judgment dated 17.05.2023 and respondent was ordered to be ejected from the demised premises. Thereafter, respondent had preferred an appeal, which is still pending and has not been disposed of despite passage of around three years from the date of passing of the eviction order.

5. Report from the concerned Court has been called for and it has been reported that a total of 3800 cases are pending in the said Court including 228 Sessions Trials, 1162 NDPS Act cases, 1170 Civil Cases including 431 Civil Appeals, besides other criminal cases and every endeavour shall be made to dispose of the appeal at the earliest.

6. Since, the pendency before the said Court is quite heavy, no such directions can be issued to dispose of the appeal in a time bound manner. However, this Court cannot lose sight of the fact that respondent has been ordered to be ejected from the demised premises on the ground of bonafide personal necessity of the petitioners and accordingly, the present petition is disposed of and learned Appellate Court is requested to dispose of the appeal in question as expeditiously as possible.



7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No