



CRA-S-274-2026 (O&M)

::1::

(212)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-274-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2026

Rajesh @ Anda @ Galwa @ Rajesh Kumar

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharda, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The present appeal has been preferred against the impugned order dated 05.12.2025 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal vide which application for grant of regular bail filed by the appellant in FIR No.415 dated 06.09.2022 under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 302 of IPC and Section 3(2)(v), 3(2)(va) of SC & ST Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal has been declined.

2. The counsel for the appellant contends that no specific injury has been attributed to the appellant in the FIR. Subsequently, the complainant-Mohit has been examined as PW-2 and has not supported the case of the prosecution. As the appellant is in custody since 08.09.2023 but only 10 out of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the appellant is the primary accused having inflicted injuries on the



CRA-S-274-2026 (O&M)

::2::

person of the deceased. He, however, concedes that the complainant-Mohit has been examined as PW-2 and has not supported the prosecution case and that the appellant is in custody since 08.09.2023 but only 10 out of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly, complainant-Mohit PW-2 has not supported the version of the prosecution. Whether the remaining evidence is sufficient to establish the culpability of the appellant shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. The appellant is stated to be in custody since 08.09.2023 but only 10 out of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the appellant is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present appeal is allowed and the appellant-Rajesh @ Anda @ Galwa @ Rajesh Kumar is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The appeal stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 30, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable :- Yes/No