



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(217)

RSA-366-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-08.04.2026

JAGJIT SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

SAROJ JAIN AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 3.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. There is none present on behalf of the appellant. Notice to the sole appellant was issued, the same has been received back with the report that he has gone abroad and has left no address.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stand dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

08th April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No