

2026:PHHC:027748



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3430-2025
Date of decision :20.02.2026**

VIKRANT SANGWAN

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sansaniwal, Advocate with
Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Preeti Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Brajesh Kumar Kaundal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of the order dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Gurugram whereby the application for releasing the vehicle bearing Registration No.HR-12-AF-0090, Model Range Rover Discovery Chassis No.SALCA2AN9ML965209, Engine No.210527P0008204DTY on *Superdari* has been dismissed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that both the vehicles were recovered from the petitioner when he was arrested in FIR No.309 dated 21.08.2021 U/s 380 IPC (Sections 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act,

Sections 120-B, 201, 381, 381, 382, 454, 411, 457 IPC and Sections 7 & 8 of P.C. Act added later on) at Police Station Kherki Daula, District Gurugram. He moved an application for release of both the vehicles on *Superdari*. While his White Fortuner vehicle bearing Engine No.IGDA289607 and Chassis No.MBJAA3GS000540354 was released to him, his second vehicle which is a Registration No.HR-12-AF-0090, Model Range Rover Discovery Chassis No.SALCA2AN9ML965209, Engine No.210527P0008204DTY was not released on *Superdari* on the grounds that the arrest of some of the accused was awaited. He states that leaving the vehicle at the police station would deplete its value and convert the said vehicle into junk. He undertakes that in case the vehicle is released to him, he will not sell or alter its condition in any manner and shall produce the same before the Court, if so required.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel along with the counsel for the respondent No.2 has not disputed the factual narration but prays that the present petition be dismissed keeping in view the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner is an accused in No.309 dated 21.08.2021 U/s 380 IPC (Sections 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act, Sections 120-B, 201, 381, 381, 382, 454, 411, 457 IPC and Sections 7 & 8 of P.C. Act added later on) at Police Station Kherki Daula, District Gurugram. He was arrested and thereafter, granted bail. An application for *Superdari* was moved. Strangely, while one of his vehicle was released to him, the other, which is the subject matter of the instant petition was not so released. Apparently, leaving the vehicle at the police station would certainly deplete its value and converted it into junk.

6. In view of the above, I find considerable merit in the present petition. Therefore, the impugned order dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, Gurugram stand quashed. The vehicle is ordered to be released to the petitioner on *superdari* forthwith on such conditions to be imposed by the Trial Court.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

20.02.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No