



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**225**

CRM-M-2675-2026

Date of decision: 23.03.2026

Anil @ Abid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY**

Present : Ms. Shivani Jaglan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further her submission that since the complainant, at whose behest the proceedings were initiated, has not supported the case of the prosecution, further incarceration of petitioner would not serve any useful purpose.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Rajbir Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Panipat has been placed on record, wherein it has been pointed out that twice the petitioner was granted the concession of bail but after attending the Court proceedings for some time, he absented on two occasions. Learned State counsel has also referred to the questionable past antecedents of the petitioner as stand highlighted in para 6 of the said report.

During the course of submissions, it has also been pointed out in para 3(ii) of the said report that out of 14 cited prosecution witnesses, 10 have already been examined.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, considering the fact



that the trial is at fag end, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make sincere efforts to conclude the trial within a period of 2 months from today, latest by 30.05.2026, in accordance with law.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)  
JUDGE

23.03.2026  
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No