



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(225)

RSA-741-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-23.04.2026

GURDIP SINGH AND ANOTHER ... Appellants
Versus

AMRIK SINGH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the parties. As per the office report, both the counsels were informed about the date fixed but none is present on behalf of them. This is a case arising out of a suit for permanent injunction filed in the year 1995. It seems that parties have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

23rd April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No