



CWP-1076-2023

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-1076-2023

Date of Decision: 20.02.2026

Gagandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate appeared and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of the petitioner with no objection from previous counsel. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to reconduct his physical test regarding height and issue appointment letter for the post of Constable.

3. The petitioner pursuant to advertisement dated 31.05.2016 applied for the post Constable. He successfully passed physical measurement and physical screening test. He was called for height measurement. His height was determined as 5 feet 11 inches. He claims his height is more than 6 feet. He should be awarded 15 marks *qua* height instead of 14 marks. If he is awarded 15 marks for height, his total marks would be 28. There are many candidates who have scored 28 marks and

**CWP-1076-2023****-2-**

4. Learned State counsel submits that height of the petitioner was remeasured and he was found 6 feet. He was awarded 15 marks for height and 13 for academic qualifications. His total marks came to be 28. He could not be selected because cut-off was 28 and he was younger than last selected candidate.

5. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that 62 posts were lying vacant still petitioner was not accommodated.

6. On being confronted with said fact, learned State counsel submits that 195 seats were lying vacant in District Cadre because of interim orders of this Court. The matter was finally settled by this Court and eligible candidates have already been considered against aforesaid seats, nevertheless, petitioner may submit his claim and competent authority would re-examine the same in accordance with law.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

8. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application which shall be considered and addressed by competent authority in accordance with law within 6 months from the date of filing.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.02.2026*Mohit Kumar/SDK*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No