



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(131)

CR-2628-2018

Date of decision: - 18.05.2026

M/s Shyam Kripa Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

....Petitioners

Versus

M/s RMS Estate Pvt. Ltd. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the impugned order dated 25.01.2018 whereby the application filed by the petitioner under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Order 22 Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment as defendant has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time and after getting specific instructions, has submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to avail all remedies available to it including pursuing its right for



specific performance of the agreement in its favour.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that in case the petitioner is granted the said liberty, then, liberty be also granted to the respondents to raise all the pleas available to them in law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to avail all remedies, in accordance with law, including the remedy of pursuing its right for specific performance of the agreement. In case the petitioner institutes any such proceedings, it would be open to the respondents to raise all pleas in accordance with law.

May 18, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No