



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-963-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.02.2026

Madhvi DeviPetitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. This Court, on 27.11.2025, had passed the following order:-
- “Reply by way of a short affidavit of District Magistrate, Bathinda, on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, has been filed in the Court today, and the same is taken on record.*
- Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.*
- Purely, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to 05.02.2026.*
- Interim order to continue, till the adjourned date.*
- However, it is made clear that if the arguments are not advanced on behalf of the petitioner on the next date of hearing, the interim order shall, ipso facto, stand vacated.”*
2. The position is not any different even today, as neither the petitioner nor anyone on her behalf is present. Therefore, it seems that petitioner is not keen in pursuing the instant writ petition, and, the same is **dismissed for non-prosecution.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

05.02.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No