



CR No.232 of 2015 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CR No.232 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:08.05.2026

SMT. JEETO (SINCE DECEASED) TH. LRS. AND ORS.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*


Present: Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Advocate
for respondent nos.2 to 4.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of the present revision petition, challenge has been laid to the order dated 12.12.2014 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ambala, whereby the application seeking disbursement of compensation in favour of respondent Nos.2 to 4 to the extent of 4/5th share and in favour of the petitioners to the extent of 1/5th share, on the basis of order dated 12.04.2005, was allowed.

[2]. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this Hon'ble Court, vide order of even date passed in *RFA No.1533 of 2005* titled as "*Raj Kumar and others versus Lal Chand and others*", upheld the order dated 12.04.2005 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ambala to the extent that petitioners were rightly held entitled for 1/4th share of compensation whereas respondent nos.2 to 4 were held entitled for 4/5th share thereof; in the subject property falling in revenue estate of village Dhakila Tehsil and District Ambala besides holding the petitioners been held entitled for compensation qua Khasra



CR No.232 of 2015 (O&M)

Nos.12//11/1 (4-0) and 196 (1-11) pertaining to the revenue estate of village Rampur.

[3]. In view of the aforesaid development, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

[5]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

May 08, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No