



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203-I

CR-1267-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-14.05.2026

Mahesh Goel and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Pritam Kaur (since deceased) through LRs

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Advocate,
 (*through video conferencing*) with
 Mr. Gurjit Singh Saini, Advocate and
 Ms. Mallika Passi, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate and
 Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition is directed against the order dated 16.10.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, whereby evidence of the plaintiffs was ordered to be closed.
2. Though from the impugned order it is evident that the plaintiffs failed to exercise due diligence in pursuing the suit. However, in the interest of justice, this Court finds that the impugned order needs to be modified to the extent that the plaintiffs are allowed one effective opportunity to lead their entire evidence.
3. Mr. Gupta though raises objection that by now the defendants have adduced their entire evidence and the same has been ordered to be closed, but this



Court finds that the objection raised by Mr. Gupta cannot be accepted as the defendants led their evidence during the time the present revision petition was pending before this Court. The act of Court should not prejudice rights of the parties.

- 4. In view of above, present revision petition is **disposed off** with the aforesaid modification in the impugned order.
- 5. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed off.
- 6. It is made clear that in case the plaintiffs fail to lead evidence despite availing opportunity as granted hereinabove, the impugned order shall come in vogue and no further opportunity will be granted to the plaintiffs.

14.05.2026
Pankaj

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No