



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CRR-1098-2022

Date of decision : 03.02.2026

NARESH KUMAR

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of arguments has conceded this fact that the respondents No.2 to 4 are the first offenders, and that all the offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate. It has also been conceded by learned counsel for the petitioner that the offences for which the respondents No.2 to 4 have been convicted are under Section 323, 325 and 341 IPC, which means that the maximum punishment prescribed for the above-mentioned offence is 07 years. However, it has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the learned Appellate Court instead of affording the benefit of compensation to the petitioner has issued a direction that the fine deposited

by the respondents No.2 to 4 be treated as cost of litigation for the State. He has requested for compensation to the victim/petitioner.

2. During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner has agreed that the petitioner will approach the District Legal Services Authority, Jalandhar for award of compensation. He wants to withdraw present petition.

3. In view of above, the present revision petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Legal Services Authority, Jalandhar and seek compensation for the injury suffered by the victim/petitioner.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

03.02.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No