



226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1392-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 16.04.2026

PRABODH KUMAR JADLI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. N.K Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishav Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5.
(joined through V.C.).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only prayer of the petitioner, at this stage, is that since a vacancy exists in Palwal, the petitioner may kindly be adjusted against the said vacancy; hence, the respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner sympathetically and to pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, posting the petitioner at Palwal so as to enable him to be stationed near the place where his wife is presently posted.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 5 submits that in case any such direction is issued by this Court, appropriate consideration shall be accorded to the claim of the petitioner.

3. Keeping in view the fact that a post is lying vacant at Palwal, in case the same is feasible, the petitioner be posted at a station near the place

where his wife is posted, as the wife of the petitioner is stated to be presently working in Nainital, let, such consideration be undertaken by the competent authority and finalized within a period of ***four weeks*** from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and the decision taken thereon be duly communicated to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

4. Present petition is disposed of with the above observations.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

16-04-2026
Sapna Goyal

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO