



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-90-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.02.2026

Anil Arora

... Petitioner

Versus

Parveen Kadiyan and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shakti Paul Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 (through Virtual mode).

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

After having argued at length, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant contempt petition. He further submits that the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the impugned action.

2. The instant contempt petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the aforesaid statement.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

February 9, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No