



**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(260)

CR-42-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: - 05.05.2026

Anu Duvedi

....Petitioner

Versus

Raj Dulari and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharma (Vasudeva), Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate
for Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 23.12.2020 passed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-Election Tribunal, Nangal, vide which the applications dated 16.12.2020 (Annexures P-7 and P-9) have been dismissed and evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the election petition was filed by the petitioner against respondent No.1 and other respondents were also made parties. It is further submitted that the



said election petition was filed on 31.01.2019 and two applications were filed by the petitioner which were dismissed without giving any reason and the evidence of the petitioner was closed vide order dated 23.12.2020. It is stated that the petitioner would not press her applications, but she should be granted two effective opportunities to lead evidence at her own responsibility.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1, on the other hand, has submitted that the tenure of respondent No.1 is over and the election petition would be primarily academic. It is further submitted that in case, any opportunity is to be granted to the petitioner to lead evidence, then, heavy cost should be imposed upon her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in rebuttal, has submitted that the petitioner is a poor person and she can only pay reasonable cost.

5. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 23.10.2020 passed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-Election Tribunal, Nangal, is set aside with the following observations/directions:-

(i) The petitioner would be given two effective opportunities to lead evidence at her own responsibility. The same would be subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of Rs.10,000/- as cost, within a period of one month from today and on her depositing the said amount, the same would be released to respondent No.1.

(ii) It is made clear that in case, the petitioner does not deposit



the cost of Rs.10,000/- within the aforesaid period, the present revision petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

May 05, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No