



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.241

**CRR-18-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.04.2026**

GURJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.
(Appeared through video conferencing).

Mr. Ritu Raj Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision has been filed by the petitioner who was convicted under Sections 279, 337, 338 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 02 years, has filed the present revision and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 01.06.2017 respectively passed by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib as well as the impugned judgment dated 06.03.2018 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 19.12.2018.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prosecution of the petitioner is nothing but an abuse of the process of the Court. He submits that though the petitioner has already been convicted by the Court of competent jurisdiction, however, now, the parties have arrived at a compromise and respondent Nos.2 to 5 does not want to prosecute the



petitioner any more. Thus, the FIR along with all consequential proceedings alongwith judgment and conviction order dated 01.06.2017 passed by the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib as well as the impugned judgment 06.03.2018 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib may kindly be quashed.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 5 has affirmed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and thus, he has submitted that the *inter se* dispute between the parties is resolved amicably. Hence, he has no objection, if the judgment of conviction is set aside and the petitioner is acquitted.

4. This Court vide order dated 24.03.2026 directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements, as contended before the Court, and the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was also directed to send its report.

5. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 15.04.2026 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the record and the report sent by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

7. The matter has been compromised between the parties during the pendency of the revision petition, which was filed by the applicant-



petitioner to challenge his conviction under Sections 279, 337 and 338 IPC by the Court’s below. Further reliance has been placed on the judgment delivered by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CRM-26125-2019 in/and CRR-568-2016, titled Inderpreet Singh vs. State of Punjab and Another, decided on 05.02.2020**, wherein it was held that the parties had amicably settled their dispute during the pendency of the revision petition. Consequently, the judgment of conviction and order of sentence passed by the Courts below were set aside, and the petitioner was acquitted of the charges.

8. In view of the report fo the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant revision petition is allowed. Consequently, the judgments of conviction and sentence passed by the Courts below are set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the charges.

9. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and thier statements recorded before the Court below.

10. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

28.04.2026

Anu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No