

**101 CRA-D-70-2024**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRA-D-70-2024
Date of decision: 29.05.2026**

Rajesh @ Bhuria	Versus	Appellant.
State of Haryana		...Respondent.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

Present:- Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. Present appeal has been filed for setting aside the impugned order dated 13.12.2023 passed by the Ld. Addl. Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, and further for grant regular bail to the appellant in case FIR No. 13 dated 09.01.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 326 and 379-B IPC and Section 16 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, Haryana.

2. One of the grounds raised in this bail petition which has been filed by way of a criminal appeal (CRA-D-70-2024) since the offence relates to the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, is that the injured/complainant has since been examined.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant also submits that other the eye witness has not supported the case of the prosecution. Certain other grounds have also been raised.



101 CRA-D-70-2024

-2-

4. We do not want to go into the prolixity of adjudicating the other grounds raised by the appellant in this appeal, as the same deserves to be dismissed on the sole ground that while allowing CRR-2723-2025 (*Jugnu vs. State of Haryana*), vide order dated 27.05.2026, this Court had quashed the order of learned Trial Court dismissing the application moved by the injured/complainant for his re-examination u/s 311 Cr.PC and had directed learned Trial Court to re-examine the injured/complainant. Since opportunity for his re-examination has been afforded to the injured/complainant, whose both hands were severed from his body and burnt in the fields in the said occurrence, this Court is not inclined to extend the benefit of bail to appellant/petitioner at this stage unless and until the injured/complainant is re-examined.

5. Therefore, considering the nature and gravity of the offence as the alleged crime is quite gruesome and barbaric act on the part of the assailants, coupled with the fact that the complainant/injured is yet to be re-examined by the learned Trial Court, we find no ground being made out for grant of bail to the appellant therefore, this Court for the time being dismisses the present appeal.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.05.2026

preeti

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No