

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:054093



FAO-3766-2003 (O&M) AND
FAO-3765-2003 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-08.04.2025

(220) **FAO-3766-2003 (O&M)**

NANWA ... Appellant

Versus

BIRENDER SINGH AND OTHERS ... Respondents

(220.1) **FAO-3765-2003 (O&M)**

NANWA ... Appellant

Versus

BIRENDER SINGH AND OTHERS ... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Ravneet Bains, Advocate,
for the appellant in both the appeals.

Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.3/Insurance Company
in both the appeals.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant(s) submitted that the arguing counsel had expired and sought time to contact the appellants for the purpose of procuring a power of attorney in her favour. Accordingly, notice was issued to the appellants. The notice has been received back duly served through the son of the appellant; however, learned counsel submits that despite service, none has contacted her on behalf of the appellant(s). Furthermore, no one from the family of the appellant has put in appearance, so it seems that the appellant(s) is not interested in pursuing the present appeal(s).

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping these appeals on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeals stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main cases stand dismissed for want of prosecution, all miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them .
5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the other connected case.

08th April, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No