



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CWP-129-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

NITIKA DEVI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the memo dated 19.12.2019, Annexure P-10, *qua* the petitioner as there is apprehension that on the basis of this letter the respondents may cancel her transfer order dated 18.09.2019, Annexure P-8, in contravention of Teacher Transfer Policy and the amended Policy, dated 29.06.2016 and 05.06.2017, Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively.

2. At the time of issuing notice of motion vide order dated 08.01.2020, the respondents were directed not to relieve the petitioner from Government Senior Secondary School, Dhatogra (3723), Block Pinjore, District Panchkula.

3. Learned State counsel contended that the petitioner was never under consideration for transfer by the committee constituted vide memo dated 19.12.2019, nor did her name figure in the list of employees enclosed with vide memo dated 20.12.2019, Annexure P-11, whose cases were to be considered by the committee at that point of time. Besides, the Teacher Transfer Policy, 2016, is no longer in force and stands replaced by the



Transfer Policy notified in 2017, which has further been replaced by the Online Teacher Transfer Policy, 2025.

4. The facts aforementioned are not in dispute. It shows the petition has been filed on a misplaced apprehension and has now been rendered infructuous, as the 2016 Policy is no longer in force.
5. Disposed of accordingly.
6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No