



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M-39-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2026**

Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. H.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the present petition may kindly be treated as a request to shift the petitioner from District Jail, Bathinda to Central Jail Goindwal, District Jail Patti in District Tarn Taran.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the reason for making such a request is that the petitioner is not being produced before the competent Court of law during the hearing of the cases against him, which is causing prejudice to him.
3. The learned State counsel submits that an affidavit has already been filed that, in case, the physical production of an accused is not possible on a particular date, facilities have already been made to produce the said accused through video conferencing and on every date, the appellant will be produced either in person or through video conferencing facility, as feasible.



**CRM-M-39-2025 (O&M) and
CRA-D-864-2024**

-2-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

January 29, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No