

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17968 of 2025

7th DAY OF JANUARY 2026

Between:

Syeda Umme Kulsum,
And another.

PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Telangana,
CCS, Hyderabad, EOW
Team VIII, Rep by Public
Prosecutor, High Court for
the State of Telangana, at
Hyderabad.

RESPONDENT

ORAL ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners who are arrayed as accused Nos.4 and 5 in Crime No.147 of 2025 on the file of the CCS, Hyderabad, EOW Team VIII, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), r/w 61(2) of BNS, and Section 5 of TSPDFEA.

2. The brief facts of the case of prosecution are that in the year 2019 the complainants were introduced to accused Javedulla Khan through one Imran Ali of Dubai. Javedulla Khan along with his associates represented that they were running profitable ventures under the name “TK Trading” and promised a 5% monthly return on investments, assuring that the capital was secure. Believing these representations, Complainant No.2 invested Rs.46,00,000/- (Rs.40,00,000/- through bank transfers and Rs.6,00,000/- in cash), while Complainant No.1 invested Rs.83,00,000/- between September 2023 and April 2025 (Rs.61,00,000/- through bank transfers and Rs.22,00,000/- in cash). Initially small returns were paid to gain confidence, but later all payments were stopped and the accused refused to return the invested amounts. Subsequent inquiry revealed that crores of rupees were collected from several investors without authorization under RBI and SEBI regulations, and “TK Trading” was a fictitious entity created to cheat investors. When confronted, the accused allegedly threatened the complainants and abused them in filthy language.

3. Heard Sri K.Naveen Kumar, learned counsel for petitioners, and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that Petitioner No.1/A-4 is the wife of Accused No.1, a housewife with twin daughters aged about three years, and Petitioner No.2/A-5 is the younger brother of Accused No.1 engaged in small civil contracts. He contended that neither petitioner ever met the complainants nor had any role in the alleged transactions. He asserted that Petitioner No.1 only came to know of the matter when she dialed 100 to rescue her husband from an alleged kidnapping attempt by the complainants. He lamented that the complainants have also attempted to encroach upon the property of Petitioner No.1, leading to execution of civil proceedings. While asserting that the petitioners are innocent and no way connected with the alleged offence, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioners, and contended that the allegations in the FIR disclose a large-scale financial fraud wherein crores of rupees were collected from unsuspecting investors under the guise of “TK Trading.” He submitted that the petitioners are shown as associates of Accused No.1 and their involvement cannot be ruled out at this stage when the investigation is at a crucial stage and custodial interrogation may be necessary to unearth the full extent of the conspiracy and trace the diverted funds. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. Having regard to the rival submissions and material on record, it is noted that petitioners are accused Nos.4 and 5 who are wife and son of A1. As seen from the remand case diary, there are no specific set of allegations against these petitioners. Therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners shall surrender before the Station House Officer, CCS, Hyderabad, EOW Team VIII, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release them on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, each, with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier,

and thereafter, as and when
required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall
stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 07.01.2026
PT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17968 of 2025

DATE: 07.01.2026

PT