

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17936 of 2025

DATE: 23.01.2026

BETWEEN:

Hanumanthu Abhiram

.....petitioner/accused No.2

And

The State of Telangana,

Rep. by Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This criminal petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/accused No.2 seeking his enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.141 of 2025 of Excise Ghatkesar Police Station, Medchal District. The

offences alleged against the petitioners are under Section 8(c) r/w.22(c) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act')

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.08.2025 the police apprehended the petitioners herein near Bhagyanagar Nandanavanam Park, Narapally, Ghatkesar, Medchal-Malkajgiri District and seized 31.35 grams (gross) and 22.85 grams (net) of MDMA along with two wheeler and some mobile phones. It is alleged that A.1 and A.2 went to Bangalore on 18.08.2025, purchased 25 grams of MDMA and A.3 paid Rs.17,000/- to A.1 and A.2 for procuring 12 grams of MDMA. Hence, complaint was registered against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri P. Vikas Raj, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and they have not committed any offences. The petitioner is in jail from 31.08.2025 and they are ready to cooperate with the

investigation and undertake to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail on the ground that the quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity and in view of rigor of Section 37 of NDPS Act, petitioner is not entitled for bail and requested this Court to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 31.08.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-V

Additional Metropolitan Magistrate,
Medchal-Malkajgiri at L.B.Nagar.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.01.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17936 of 2025

Date: 23.01.2026

SAI