

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17888 of 2025

DATE: 06.01.2026

BETWEEN:

B. Mahesh Babu & another

.....Petitioners/A.1 & A.3

And

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO Kukatpally P.S,
Cyberabad, rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to
enlarge the petitioners on bail who are arrayed as A.1 and A.3
in Crime No.1528 of 2025 of Kukatpally Police Station,

Cyberabad, registered for the offences punishable under Section 70 (1), 77, 89, 351(2), 62 r/w.61(2) of Bharaitya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a report on 25.11.2025 before police stating that on 10.08.2025, her superior Dr. Chandra Shekar (A-2) invited her to his residence at Flat No.1003, Jewel Heights, Tower-B, KPHB for a dinner party where he introduced her to his friend A.1. A.2 offered her snacks and a cool drink, saying the party would start once others would come. After having the snacks and drink, she felt dizzy and semi-conscious, she became partially aware that A.1 was sexually assaulting her without her consent. A.2 also entered the room during the act, witnessed it, and also sexually assaulted her. Thereafter both of them took her phone, deleted chats, transferred money to her account, and gave her i-Pill to prevent pregnancy. When she woke up, she found A.3, beside her and later she returned the transferred money. A few days later, A.1 confronted her, threatened to leak videos and photos of the incident, and coerced her into continuing a physical relationship. When she became pregnant, he gave her

tablets to terminate it. Despite this, a pregnancy test later came back positive, and she visited Priston Care Clinic on 03.10.2025 and thereafter A.1 absconded. His friend Vijay & Yogi-A.4, began calling her, using abusive language and threatened her not to approach the police, claiming political influence. On 12.11.2025, A.1 again confronted her and demanded sexual favors, and defamed her. Hence, requested the police to take action against the accused persons. Basing on the said complaint police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri C. Haripreeth, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioners are innocent of the said allegations and they are in jail from 26.11.2025. The averment of the complaint itself shows that it is a consensual relationship between the parties. There is no such force by the petitioners. Further, there is delay of three months in lodging complaint which is not explained. The material part of investigation is already

completed including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS. As such, prayed this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against the petitioners are very serious and heinous in nature. Investigation is still pending. Therefore, at this stage, petitioners are not entitled for bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, petitioners are in jail from 26.11.2025 and as seen from the record Lws.1 to 13 are examined including the investigating authority and the statement of victim is also recorded. Further there is delay in lodging the complaint which is not explained. Considering the allegations against the petitioners, facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners-A.1 & A.3 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned I- Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-XII Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Kukatpally.
- ii. The petitioners-A.1 & A.3 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 05.00 p.m on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioners-A.1 & A.3 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 480(3) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 06.01.2026

Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17888 of 2025

DATE :06.01.2026

Rds