

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17712 of 2025

DATE: 05.01.2026

BETWEEN:

Bachar Ramesh Goud @ Ramesh Chandra Baser

..... Petitioner/Accused No.2

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

..... Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking to enlarge the petitioner, on bail, who is arrayed as accused No.2 in Crime No.200 of 2025 of Asifabad Police Station, Kumarambheem Asifabad District. The alleged offences against the petitioner are under Sections 87, 111(2)(B), 143(2), 143(7), 64(2)(m), 127(2), 61(2)(A), 351(2) read with 3(5) of BNS, Sections 5 and 6 of Immoral Traffic Act and Sections 3(2)(va) and 3(2)(v) of SCs/STs (POA) Act, 1989.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 11.06.2025 the complainant, belonging to the ST Kolam community, came to Asifabad Police Station and lodged a written petition stating that his third daughter, Athram Marubai had gone to her elder sister's house in Jainoor Mandal about one year earlier and thereafter went missing. Despite searching for her in various villages and contacting relatives, he could not trace her. He further mentioned that about a week earlier he called the mobile number linked to her Aadhaar, and though she answered the call, she stated that she did not know where she was. As he failed to find any further clues, he approached the police seeking necessary action to trace his daughter.

3. Heard Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent and had been falsely implicated, as the allegations in the complaint and remand report did not disclose any specific overt acts constituting the alleged

offences and that the police, without any basis, treated the petitioner as the main accused, produced him on PT warrant on 09.07.2025, and sent him to judicial remand, even though a final report had already been filed and no further investigation required his custody. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious and heinous in nature. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner was produced on PT warrant on 09.07.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed and charge sheet was also filed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the

case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge for Trial of cases under SCs/STs (POA) Act, 1989 – cum-II Additional Sessions Judge, Adilabad Judicial First Class Magistrate at Bhainsa.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
- iv. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly contact, influence, threaten, or induce the complainant, witnesses, or any person acquainted with the facts of the case, and shall maintain absolute peace and good conduct during the pendency of the trial.

- v. The petitioner shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the concerned Court / District without prior permission from the trial Court.
- vi. In the event the petitioner is involved in any other offence or violates any of the above conditions, the prosecution may move for cancellation of bail.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 05.01.2026
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17712 of 2025

Date: 05.01.2026

SAI