

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17509 of 2025

DATE: 05.01.2026

BETWEEN:

Basher Ramesh Goud @ Ramesh Chandra Baser

..... Petitioner/Accused No.7

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

..... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking to enlarge the petitioner, on bail, who is arrayed as accused No.7 in Crime No.211 of 2025 of Asifabad Police Station, Kumarambheem Asifabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 366, 370(2), 370(7), 376(2)(n), 342, 120B, 506 read with 34 of IPC, Sections 5 and 6 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act and Sections 3(2)(v), 3(2)(va) of the SCs/STs (POA) Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the victim lodged a complaint before the Police stating that after losing her parents in childhood, she had been living at Mancheria Railway Station for survival and further stated that a woman named Vijayalakshmi had taken her home under the guise of helping her, but later, along with Sujatha, Usha, Panchapula, Sudhakar, and a police constable named Haridas, had conspired to traffic her. The victim had further stated that she was sold in Madhya Pradesh for Rs.1,10,000/-, where one Jagadeesh had repeatedly sexually assaulted her. She had eventually escaped and later approached ICDS teachers, who brought her to the police station to lodge a complaint.

3. Heard Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent and had been falsely implicated without any specific overt acts attributed to him in the complaint or the remand report and that the allegations did

not constitute the ingredients of the offences alleged and that the case against the petitioner was baseless and concocted. He further submitted that the petitioner was a law-abiding citizen belonging to a respectable family, had been in judicial remand since 27.06.2025, and that a charge sheet had already been filed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious and heinous in nature. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 27.06.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed and charge sheet was also filed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and

the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge for Trial of cases under SCs/STs (POA) Act, 1989 – cum-II Additional Sessions Judge, Adilabad Judicial First Class Magistrate at Bhainsa.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
- iv. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly contact, influence, threaten, or induce the complainant, witnesses, or any person acquainted with the facts of the case, and shall maintain absolute peace and good conduct during the pendency of the trial.

- v. The petitioner shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the concerned Court/District without prior permission from the trial Court.
- vi. In the event the petitioner is involved in any other offence or violates any of the above conditions, the prosecution may move for cancellation of bail.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 05.01.2026
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17509 of 2025

Date: 05.01.2026

SS