

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17464 of 2025

DATE: 06.01.2026

BETWEEN:

Kodella @ Konda Kanka Raaju @ Matti Raju

.....petitioner/accused No.1

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.839 of 2025 before the Keesara Police Station, Rachakonda Commissionerate, registered for the offence

punishable under Sections 132 and 109 read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that a case in Crime No.835 of 2025 of Keesara Police Station was registered alleging illegal transportation of soil and an alleged attempt to run over police personnel while guarding a seized Hitachi excavator. It was stated that the excavator had already been seized and was lying in a broken-down condition under police escort, and that the petitioner was falsely implicated along with others on assumptions and afterthoughts, without any direct or credible material connecting him to the alleged incident.

3. Heard Sri Anugu Sanjeeva Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent, had no role in the alleged offences, and that the complaint was false, fabricated, and baseless. He further submitted that the petitioner was a heart patient

suffering from serious ailments, had undergone stent surgery, required continuous medical treatment, and had even been admitted to the prison hospital. He contended that the investigation was substantially completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 14.11.2025. The main allegation against the petitioner is that ---. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was falsely implicated for illegally transporting the soil and further submitted that the health condition of the petitioner is severe in nature. Considering the

facts and circumstances of the case as well as the incarceration period of the petitioner and the health condition of the petitioner, this court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and upon a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 14.11.2025. The main allegation against the petitioner is that he abetted the illegal transportation of soil and was involved in an incident wherein an attempt was allegedly made to run over police personnel while the seized excavator was under police escort. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on assumptions and afterthoughts cannot be brushed aside outright at this stage. Further, the petitioner is suffering from serious cardiac ailments, has undergone stent surgery, and requires continuous medical care. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned III Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Kushaiguda.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 06.01.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17464 of 2025

Date: 06.01.2026

SAI