IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

WRIT PETITION NO: 38224 OF 2026

Between:

Danaboina Parasuram, S/o. Narasimha, Aged about 63 years, Occupation. Business, R/o. Flat No.402, Green Grande, PanchavatiColony, Road No.5,Manikonda, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Chairman, Mandal Legal Services Committee, Cyberabad, Office of the Mandal Legal Services Committee, Cyberabad, Miyapur, Kukatpally, Hyderabad - 500 072.
2. M/s. Laqshya Infra Developers India Private Limited, represented by its Director, Mr. S.T. Arsu, Sto T. Selvaraj, aged about 60 years, having its Registered Office at Flat No. 11F-03, 11T-13, IIT Heights, Opposite Delhi Public School, Khajaguda, Ranga Reddy District - 500 089.
3. Smt. Sumitha Sarkar, D/o Shri B.C. Sarkar, aged about 55 years, Occupation. Service, residing at H.No. 5, Krishnapuri, Secunderabad - 500 003.
4. Sri Arunava Sarkar, S/o Shri B.C. Sarkar, aged about 53 years, Occupation. Engineer, residing at Flat No. 206, Sai Kundanaya, USA, represented through his General Power of Attorney Holder, namely Sri V. Keshava Choudary, i.e., Respondent No. 5 herein.
5. Sri V. Keshava Choudary, S/o V. Ramana, aged about 67 years, Occupation. Business, residing at H.No. 403, Anand Plaza, Opposite Ranga Reddy District Collectorate, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad - 500 004.
6. The Sub-Registrar (SRO), Serilingampally, Ranga Reddy District, Kanchi Gachibowli Road, Vattinagulapally, Hyderabad - 75.
7. Smt. M. Nagalakshmi, W/o Late Sri M. Ganga Raju, aged about 50 years, Occupation. Business, residing at Flat No. 409, VII Block, My Home Avatar Apartments, Puppalaguda, Narsingi, ORR, Ranga Reddy District - 500 089.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari and/or Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the Lok Adalat Award dated 30.03.2013 passed in P.L.C. No.70 of 2012 by the Chairman, Mandal Legal Services Committee, Cyberabad, Kukatpally, and, after examining the same, declare that the said Award shall not bind or prejudice the petitioners independent protected-tenancy rights in respect of the subject land situated in Sy.No.66/2 of Raidurg Nava Khalsa Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, particularly to the extent of Ac.4-00 guntas covered by the Award, if established to form part of the larger extent of Ac.20-00 guntas claimed through the petitioners predecessor, in the absence of adjudication of the petitioners independent rights and without establishing a valid surrender in accordance with the Telangana Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, examine the petitioners jurisdictional grounds with reference to the original referral proceedings and applicable law, and consequently direct the respondents and all persons claiming through them not to take any steps pursuant to the Award or consequential execution proceedings in E.P. No.262 of 2022 so as to defeat or prejudice the petitioners independent protected-tenancy claim pending consideration in W.P. No.33598 of 2024.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents and all persons claiming through them to maintain status quo in respect of the extent of Ac.4-00 guntas covered by the Lok Adalat Award dated 30.03.2013 in P.L.C. No.70 of 2012, to the extent the same is established to form part of the petitioners claimed Ac.20-00 guntas in Sy.No.66/2 of Raidurg Nava Khalsa Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Range Reddy District, and further restrain them from taking any steps pursuant to the said Award, including consequential execution proceedings in E.P. No.262 of 2022, which may alter the nature or possession of the said land or prejudice, extinguish or defeat the petitioners independent protected-tenancy claim, pending disposal of the present Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI SRIKANTH SUTARI

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI SHASHI KIRAN PUSLURI, SC FOR TGLSA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.6: GP FOR STAMPS & REGISTRATION

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2,3.4,5&7: - - -

The Court made the following: ORDER

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

WRIT PETITION No.38224 OF 2026
(CNR No.HBHC010786072026)

DATE: 18.11.2026

Between:

Danaboina Parasuram

... Petitioner

And

The Chairman,
Mandal Legal Services Committee,
Cyberabad, Office of the Mandal Legal Services Committee,
Cyberabad, Miyapur, Kukatpally,
Hyderabad – 500072 and six others.

...Respondents

Mr. Srikanth Sutari, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

Sri Pusuluri Shashi Kiran, the learned Standing Counsel for TGLSA appearing for the respondent No.1.

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Justice Moushumi Bhattacharya)

1. The Writ Petition does not disclose that W.P.No.16457 of 2026, assailing the very same Award dated 30.03.2013 passed by the Lok Adalat at Kukatpally in PLC No.70 of 2012, was dismissed by this Court on 13.10.2026. Upon specific queries being put to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, counsel submitted

that the fact of dismissal of the said Writ Petition has been disclosed in the Affidavit filed in support of the present Writ Petition. However, a perusal of the Affidavit reveals that no such disclosure has been made therein.

2. The only explanation offered by counsel is that the petitioner herein was not a party to the earlier proceedings.

3. Notably, paragraph 27 of the Writ Affidavit makes a vague reference to the order of dismissal dated 13.10.2026 passed in W.P.No.16457 of 2026. Paragraph 27 is set out below:

> '27. It is respectfully submitted that I first came to know of the said Lok Adalat Award on 13.10.2026. Immediately upon obtaining knowledge of the Award and its possible effect upon my claimed rights, I took steps to obtain the Award and the relevant documents required for taking appropriate legal action. The delay, if any, in approaching this Hon'ble Court is neither intentional nor deliberate, and there has been no conscious waiver, abandonment or acquiescence of my independent statutory rights.'

4. From the above paragraph, it is hence clear that there is no averment in the Writ Affidavit with regard to dismissal of the Writ Petition which had challenged the very same Lok Adalat Award. There is not even a statement made with regard to the order or the allegation that the petitioner was not a party to the said Writ Petition.

5. It is also questionable that the petitioner claims knowledge of the Award on 13.10.2026 which is actually the date of the order of dismissal. The petitioner has not referred to any independent cause of action in this regard.

6. In view of the suppression of this material fact which is crucial to the adjudication, W.P.No.38224 of 2026 is dismissed at the outset. All connected applications shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

SD/- K.V.O. NARSI BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chairman, Mandal Legal Services Committee, Cyberabad, Office of the Mandal Legal Services Committee, Cyberabad, Miyapur, Kukatpally, Hyderabad - 500 072.
2. One CC to SRI SRIKANTH SUTARI, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI SHASHI KIRAN PUSLURI, SC FOR TGLSA [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR STAMPS & REGISTRATION, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

PMK

TKS

HIGH COURT **CC TODAY**

DATED: 18/11/2026



ORDER

WP.No.38224 of 2026

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION AT THE OUTSET WITHOUT COSTS

8 copies
Ks
21/11/26