IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18806of 2026
(CNR No.HBHC010782432026)

DATED: 19.11.2026

BETWEEN:

Shaik Waseem and another

.... Petitioners-accused Nos.1 & 2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through: The SHO, PS: Niamabad Rural,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor.

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking to enlarge the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 and 2in Crime No.413of 2026 ofNizamabad RuralPolice Station, on bail. The said crime wasregistered for the offencespunishable under Sections 329(3), 292, 109 read with 3(5)of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 14.10.2026 at about 1900 hours, the de-facto complainant lodged a report with the police stating that, about three months earlier, A1 was beaten by Ameer. In view of that incident, the accused came to his house, they abused his

younger brother and sent them back home. Later, while the complainant's brother was speaking with his friends, Feroz Khan and Amjad Khan, A1 allegedly attacked him with the intention to kill him and stabbed him in the left thigh with a long knife, causing a serious bleeding injury. When the complainant brother's friends attempted to intervene, they too sustained injuries. A2, the father of A1, allegedly came to the house and fought with the complainant's brother and his friends.

3. HeardSri Mohd. Nasrulla Khan, learned counselfor the petitioners andSriM. Vivekananda Reddy, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners-A1 and A2 are innocent of the offences alleged against them. It is submitted that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 17.10.2026. It is further contended that, as per the Medical Legal Case Record, the injured sustained a single stab injury on the left thigh, which is simple in nature and cannot be construed as an injury indicative of an attempt to murder. It is further contended that a substantial portion of the investigation has been completed and that the further detention of the petitioners is not

required. Thus, the learned counsel prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioners by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the grant of bail to the petitioners, however, submitted on instructions that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioners.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 17.10.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, ten witnesses (LWs 1 to 10) have already been examined. A careful consideration of the allegations *prima facie* discloses specific and direct allegations only against accused No.1. Having regard to the nature and gravity of the accusations and the material available on record, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to accused No.1 at this stage. However, insofar as accused No.2 is concerned, he is the father of accused No.1, and no specific overt act or direct role has been attributed to him in the commission of the alleged offences. The material available on record indicates that the specific and substantive allegations are primarily directed against accused No.1, whereas the allegations against accused No.2 appear to be general in nature.

7. Having regard to the nature of the allegations attributed to accused No.2, his role in the alleged incident, the duration of incarceration and the fact that a substantial portion of the investigation has already been completed, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to Accused No.2 and the bail application of Accused No.1 is accordingly dismissed. The grant of bail to Accused No.2 shall be subject to the following conditions:

i. The petitioner-Accused No.2 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (RupeesTwenty FiveThousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learnedII Additional First Class Judicial Magistrate, Nizamabad.

ii. The petitioner-Accused No.2shall appear before the concerned SHO between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner-Accused No.2shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

iv. The petitioner-Accused No.2shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

v. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is partly allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, J

Date: 19.11.2026
SS

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18806 of 2026
(CNR No.HBHC010782432026)

DATED: 19.11.2026

SS