IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18624 of 2026
(CNR.No.HBHC010777772026)

DATED: 23.11.2026

BETWEEN:

Hazara Begum .... Petitioner/Accused No.4

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad, through Narsingi Police Station,
Hyderabad.
.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking to enlarge the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.4 in Crime No.1792 of 2026 of Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad on bail. The said crime was registered for the offences punishable under Section 109 read with Section 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. The brief facts of the case are that, the complainant, namely, Wasim Khan, lodged a complaint stating that his elder brother, Saleem Khan, had disputes with certain family members

regarding the custody and care of his children. On 11.10.2026, when Saleem Khan went to discuss the issue with Abdul, Mohammed, Akbar and others near Royal Cafe Hotel, Gandipet, wherein he was attacked with tiles, a shovel (para) and a knife, causing bleeding injuries to his head and other parts of his body. Basing on the complaint, a case in Crime No.1792 of 2026, under Section 109 read with Section 3(5) of the BNS, was registered at Narsingi Police Station, and investigation was taken up.

3. Heard Sri Lingala Sudheer, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M. Vivekananda Reddy, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the informant is the brother of the victim and that there are no specific allegations in the complaint against the petitioner-A4 to the effect that she had informed Accused No.1 to “kill the brother of the complainant, if necessary”. It is further submitted that the said allegation, which forms the basis for the accusation of attempt to murder against the petitioner-A4, was introduced for the first time in the Remand Case Diary, wherein it was recorded that “if necessary, kill him”. Learned counsel would further submit that the said allegation is conspicuously absent in the original complaint and that its subsequent introduction in the

Remand Case Diary amounts to an improvement in the prosecution version. It is also submitted that the petitioner is the mother of three (03) minor children, including a girl child, and that she is suffering from thyroid-related health issues and is undergoing medication. Therefore, learned counsel prayed this Court to grant bail to the petitioner-A4 by allowing the present Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner-A4 and submitted that the bail application filed by the petitioner-A4 before the learned trial Court was dismissed *vide* order dated 02.11.2026. It is further submitted that the grounds now urged on behalf of the petitioner had already been considered by the learned trial Court.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in judicial custody from 15.10.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses (LWs.1 to 22) have already been examined.

7. A perusal of the complaint and the Remand Case Diary discloses that the specific allegation against the petitioner-A4 with regard to the offence of attempt to murder finds no mention in the

original complaint and appears to have been added subsequently only in the Remand Case Diary.

8. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of the investigation and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and particularly, considering that the specific allegation forming the basis for the accusation of attempt to murder against the petitioner-A4 is not found in the original complaint but is reflected only in the Remand Case Diary, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner-A4, subject to the following conditions:

i) The petitioner-A4 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XIII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Rajendranagar, Cyberabad.

ii) The petitioner-A4 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

iii) The petitioner-A4 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).The petitioner-A4 shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

iv) In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, J

Date: 23.11.2026
dpm

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18624 of 2026
(CNR.No.HBHC010777772026)

DATED: 23.11.2026

dpm