IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18510 of 2026

CNR No.HBHC010770062026

DATE 17.11.2026

Between:

Choppari Ramakrishna and another

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana ***...Respondent***

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 5 in Crime.No.259 of 2026 on the file of the Regonda Police Station, Jayashankar-Bhupalpally District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 269(b), 109 and 61 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 20.09.2026 at about 23:30 hrs, the de facto complainant lodged a complaint before the police stating that while he was proceeding to Regonda

on his motorcycle, his brother-in-law informed his friend about the movement of the de facto complainant with an intention to plan and kill him. The accused, along with some others, obstructed the de facto complainant, abused him in filthy language, threatened to kill him, and assaulted him with the intention to kill him. Thereafter, the complainant fell unconscious and sustained injuries. Believing him to be dead, the accused persons left the spot. Upon regaining consciousness, he reached his house and was shifted to the hospital. Basing on the same, a case was registered against the petitioners initially registered for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), and 109 read with Section 3(5) of BNS. Subsequently, the penal Sections were altered to Sections 126(2), 296(b), 109 and 61 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.

3. Heard Sri Challa Srinivas Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the offences alleged against them and have been unnecessarily implicated in the present crime without

any incriminating material. He further submitted that a perusal of the FIR and the accompanying remand application would reveal that the entire criminal prosecution arises out of marital and personal disputes between the families, particularly on account of the alleged continuous neglect of the first wife and her daughter, which resulted in serious differences between the families. He further contended that the name of petitioner No.2, who is arrayed as accused No.5, was subsequently added to the case based on the alleged confessional statements of the co-accused while in police custody, and that both the petitioners have been falsely implicated due to personal grudge. He further submitted that the allegations made against the petitioners do not attract the ingredients of the offences punishable under Sections 109 and 61 of the BNS. He also contended that the other offences alleged against the petitioners are not serious in nature and are punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years except Section 109 of BNS which is punishable for more than seven years. Therefore, it is submitted that the stringent provisions of Sections 109 and 61 of the BNS are not attracted in the facts and circumstances of the

case. He further submitted that the substantial part of the investigation has already been completed and that the petitioners are ready to cooperate with the investigation and abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. *Per contra,* the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, placing reliance on the instructions received, the statements of the LWs and the medical reports, submitted that the injuries sustained by the de facto complainant were caused by a blunt object. He further submitted that, contrary to the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the medical reports do not indicate that the de facto complainant sustained any grievous injuries. Therefore, the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners do not merit consideration. He further submitted that accused Nos.2, 3 and 4 have already been apprehended, interrogated and remanded to judicial custody on 29.10.2026, and that the police have already effected recoveries of mobile devices and alleged cash from their possession. He further submitted that, if the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail at this stage, there is every likelihood of their

tampering with the evidence and threatening the prosecution witnesses. Hence, he prayed for dismissal of the petition.

6. Taking into consideration the submissions made by both sides and the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that the substantial part of the investigation has already been completed. Having regard to the nature of the allegations and the specific role attributed to the petitioners in the alleged commission of the offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 5, subject to the following conditions:

> (i) The petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 shall surrender before the Station House Officer of Khammam II Town Police Station, Khammam District, within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 on bail on their executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the said officer.
>
> (ii) After submission and acceptance of the sureties and release of the accused on bail, the genuineness of the said sureties shall be verified and if later on, it is found that the said

sureties are fake, the concerned SHO shall take steps for cancellation of bail.

(iii) It is open to the police or the investigating agency to move the Court concerned, which grants anticipatory bail, for a direction under Section 439 (2) to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial, etc.

(iv) After release, the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11.00 A.M. on every First and Second Saturday, for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

(v) The petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482 (2) Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

Date: 17.11.2026
gv

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18510 of 2026

Date:17.11.2026
gv