IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF NOVEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 18534 OF 2026

Between:

Jinnala Srinu @ Srinu, S/o. Late. J. Tatamma, 25 years, Occ. Gold Sales Officer at HDFC, Hitech City Branch, R/o. SVR Men's Luxuty Hostel, Ramalayam Temple, Kukatpally, Hyderabad. Kovalavanipalem Village, Anandapuram Mandal, Tangutibilly Post, Visakhapatnam District, A.P. State.

...Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, at Hyderabad
2. XXX, (Details kept confidential by the Police -Notice, if any, be ordered through SHO, S.R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad District)

...Respondents/Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, it is prayed that this Court may be pleased to quash the impugned proceedings in FIR No. 461 of 2026, on the file of the S.R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings in FIR No. 461 of 2026, on the file of the S.R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad District., including appearance and attendance of any of the Petitioner / Accused, pending disposal of the above Criminal petition.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Ms. Bandari Divya, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon, learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondents.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18534 of 2026

(CNR No. HBHC010766962026)

DATED: 23.11.2026

Between:

Jinnala Srinu @ Srinu

...**Petitioner**

AND

The State of Telangana and another

...**Respondent5**

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') to quash the impugned proceedings in F.I.R. No 461 of 2026 on the file of the S. R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 29.07.2026 at 15:00 hours, a complaint was received from the complainant/victim, wherein she stated that in September 2024, she came into contact with the petitioner/accused while both of them were working at Genamplify Solutions, Madhapur. She further stated that in October 2024, the petitioner proposed to marry her and within two days, she accepted his proposal. Thereafter, they continued their relationship. In April 2025, they allegedly met at Gokul Grand Hotel, S.R. Nagar, near Shivabagh Colony, Hyderabad, were the petitioner assured her

that he would marry her. She further stated that their relationship continued smoothly until April 2026 and that they had physical relations during that period at the same hotel. The complainant further alleged that whenever the petitioner was in need of money, she financially supported him and, from June 2025 onwards, spent an amount of approximately Rs.22,00,000/- to Rs.23,00,000/- on him.

3. When, complainant/victim started insisting to marry her, then disputes between started between them. She alleged that from April 2026 onwards, the petitioner began ignoring her and informed her that he would not marry her. Despite her repeated requests, he allegedly refused to marry her, blocked her on WhatsApp, and stopped responding to her phone calls. Thus, alleging that the petitioner had mentally, physically and financially exploited her and cheated her under the false promise of marriage and love, the complainant requested the police to take necessary legal action against him. Basing on the said allegation, a case was registered against the petitioner. Aggrieved by the said registration of crime, the petitioner apprehends that the respondent would arrest him without giving an legal opportunity.

4. Heard **Ms. Bandari Divya**, learned counsel for the petitioner, and **Sri Syed Yasar Mamoon**, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations made in the complaint, even if taken at face value, do not *prima facie* constitute the alleged offences. It is further submitted that the dispute is essentially arising out of a failed relationship between two consenting adults and that the continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to an abuse of the process of law. Hence, the learned counsel prays to quash the proceedings against the petitioner in the interest of justice.

6. *Per contra*, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the investigation in the present case has been completed and the charge sheet has been filed before the Court concerned. A copy of the charge sheet is also placed before this Court for its perusal. It is further submitted that the charge sheet discloses *prima facie* material against the petitioner/accused and that the allegations made against him require consideration during trial. Hence, he prayed that the criminal proceedings against the petitioner may not be quashed and that the quash petition may be dismissed.

7. The petitioner is seeking to quash the impugned proceeding in FIR No.461 of 2026 on the file of the S.R.Nagar Polic Station, Hyderabad, however the charge sheet has been filed before the trial Court. At this stage, quashing of FIR would be premature by this Court, when the petitioner has got a remedy to seek such relief before the competent Court.

8. In view of the above observation and without going into the merits of the case, this Court inclined to disposed of the instant Criminal Petition granting liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy for quashing of said charge sheet, in accordance with law before the appropriate forum, in view of the subsequent filing of the charge sheet.

9. It is not made clear, this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case, it is the competent Court to grant or decline the relief to the petitioner in accordance with law.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- M. OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The III Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad
2. The Station House Officer, S.R. Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad District
3. One CC to Ms. Bandari Divya, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

GNK

HIGH COURT

DATED: 23/11/2026



ORDER

CRLP.No.18534 of 2026

DISPOSING OF THE CRIMINAL PETITION

23/11/26