IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18281 of 2026

(C.N.R.No.HBHC010765952026)

DATED 13TH NOVEMBER, 2026

Between:
Lakshman Singh Rajpurohit … Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana
…Respondent

ORDER :

Petitioner/accused No.3 has filed this petition under Sections 480 and 483 of BNSS, seeking bail in Crime No.707 of 2026 on the file of Malkajgiri Police Station, Hyderabad registered for the offences under Sections 8(c) r/w. 22(c) and 29 of NDPS Act, 1985.

2. Heard Sri K.Kiran Kumar, learned counsel for petitioner and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State. Perused the record.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.09.2026, the petitioner/accused No.3 had purchased 2 grams of MDMA from accused No.2 and was found in possession of 2 grams of MDMA. Hence, the same was seized and remanded the petitioner/accused No.3 to judicial custody.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner/accused No.3 is innocent, is working as catering worker, and is the sole bread winner of his family. It is further submitted that he has been falsely implicated in the case. It is also submitted that the petitioner/accused No.3 is only a consumer and had purchased the said MDMA from accused No.2. Further, petitioner/accused No.3 has no criminal antecedents and has been in jail since 22.09.2026. Hence, he prayed to enlarge the petitioner/accused No.1 on bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the application stating that 2 grams of MDMA were seized from the possession of the petitioner/accused No.3 and that the investigation is still in progress. It is further submitted that if the petitioner/accused No.3 is released on bail, he may tamper with the evidence and may commit similar offences. Hence, prayed to dismiss the petition.

6. On a perusal of the complaint and remand case diary, it is apparent that the petitioner/accused No.3 is a consumer and had purchased the said MDMA from accused No.2. In view of the same and considering that the petitioner is a catering worker, the sole bread winner of his family, has no criminal antecedents and has been in judicial custody since 22.09.2026 and that about eight(08)

witnesses, including the Investigation Officer have been examined, and a major part of the investigation has been completed. Therefore, this Court is of the considered view that it is a fit case for grant of bail, subject to the following conditions:

1. **The petitioner/accused No.3 shall be released on bail on his executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the I Additional District and Sessions Judge-cum-Additional Family Court Judge, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Kushaiguda.**

2. **The petitioner/accused No.3 shall appear before the concerned Station House Officer twice in a month i.e., on 1st and 15th of every month, till filing of charge sheet or for four (04) months, whichever is earlier.**

3. **The petitioner/accused No.3 shall also furnish an undertaking before the concerned trial Court that he shall not involve in similar cases in future.**

4. **The petitioner/accused No.3 shall comply with the conditions as laid down under Section 480 (3) of BNSS.**

Petition is allowed accordingly.

JUVVADI SRIDEVI, J

Date: 13.11.2026
Smk