IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 37250 OF 2026

Between:

Yarlagadda Srinivasa Rao, S/o. Late Venkata Krishnaiah, Aged about 62 years, occ. RTC Driver, R/o.H.No.1-37, Tirmanpally Village, Nizamabad Mandal and District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Telangana,, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat. Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector,, Nizamabad District.
3. The Tahsildar,, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad District.
4. The Station House Officer,, Maklur Police Station, Maklur, Nizamabad District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 3 and 4 in interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the agriculture land in Sy.No.334/A an extent of Acs. 1-20 gts and in Sy.No. 334/AA an extent of Acs. 1-24 gts total admeasuring Acs. 3-04 gts of Gunjeli Village, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad District, without any proper procedure, as illegal, null, void, and arbitrary

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the

respondent Nos. 3 and 4 not to interfere in harvesting the paddy crop standing as on today in Sy.No.334/A an extent of Acs. 1-20 gts and in Sy.No. 334/AA an extent of Acs. 1-24 gts total admeasuring Acs. 3-04 gts of Gunjeli Village, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad District by the Petitioner, pending disposal of the writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner(s): Sri. K Durga Prasad

Counsel for the Respondents No. 1 to 3 : Gp For Revenue

Counsel for the Respondents No. 4: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. SHRAVAN KUMAR

W.P. No.37250 of 2026

CNR. No.HBHC010764902026

Date: 04.11.2026

Between:

Yarlagadda Srinivasa Rao

... Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and Three others.

... Respondents

ORDER:

Questioning the action of the respondents No.3 and 4 in interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the agricultural land in Sy.No.334/A an extent of Ac.1.20 guntas and in Sy.No.334/AA an extent of Ac.1.24 guntas, total admeasuring Acs.3.04 guntas of Gunjeli village, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad district, subject land, petitioner filed the present writ petition.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the mother of the petitioner was having agricultural land in Sy.No.334/A an extent of Ac.1.20 guntas in Sy.No.334/AA an extent of Ac.1.24 guntas, total admeasuring Acs.3.04 guntas of Gunjeli village, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad district. The said details have been shown in the Bhu Bharathi Portal. After the death of the mother of the petitioner,

petitioner is in possession and cultivating the subject land and further submitted that as on today, paddy crop is raised on the subject land and is ready for harvesting within a week. However, the respondents No.3 and 4, without any valid reason, are now obstructing the petitioner from harvesting the said crop. Hence, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

3. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue would submit that as per the Bhu Bharathi records, the subject land is assigned Government land and seeks to get further instructions in the matter.

4. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner may be permitted to harvest/cut the standing crop as on date on the subject land for which, the learned Assistant Government Pleader pray this Court to pass appropriate orders.

5. In that view of the matter, recording the aforesaid submissions and with the consent of the learned counsel on either side, without going into the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents No.3 and 4 not to interfere with the subject land enabling the petitioner to harvest/cut the standing crop for the current season. It is made clear that for the forthcoming seasons, the respondents No.3 and 4 are directed to follow due process of law before initiating any action on the subject land.

6. With the above observations, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

SD/- M.OSMAN ALI BAIG
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Telangana,, Revenue Department, Secretariat. Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector,, Nizamabad District.
3. The Tahsildar,, Maklur Mandal, Nizamabad District.
4. The Station House Officer,, Maklur Police Station, Maklur, Nizamabad District.
5. One CC to SRI. K DURGA PRASAD Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
8. Two CD Copies

DA

CC TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED: 04/11/2026



ORDER

WP.No.37250 of 2026

DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION WITHOUT COSTS

12 MT
6/11/26