IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18127 of 2026

(CNR.No.HBHC010760062026)

DATE: 18.11.2026

BETWEEN:
Devanapalli Gangadhar @ Dyavanapalli Gangadhar and two others

.....Petitioners-Accused Nos.1 to 3

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad through SHO,
Beerpur Police Station, Jagtial.

.....Respondent

:ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') praying this Court to enlarge the petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 3, on bail in connection with FIR No.112 of 2026 of Beerpur Police Station, Jagityal District, which was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 (1), 329 (4), 324 (6), 351 (2) read with Section 3 (5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. Heard Sri D. Bhaskar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 and Sri M. Vivekananda Reddy, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent–State.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, on 01.10.2026 at 13.20 hours, the complainant lodged complaint alleging that on 01.10.2026 at about 02.00 a.m., while he was sleeping in his kirana shop, accused Nos.1 to 3 criminally trespassed into the shop and damaged its shutter by using a tractor fitted with a dozer. Accused Nos.1 to 3 chased and hit the complainant with the tractor with an intention to kill the petitioner. As a result, he sustained severe injury to his right thigh and threatened him with dire consequences. On receipt of complaint, a case in Crime No.112 of 2026 was registered. Thereafter, petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.10.2026.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the alleged offences and they have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that there are existing civil disputes between the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3 and the *de facto* complainant. In this regard, the petitioners –accused Nos.1 to 3 lodged a complaint against the *de*

facto complainant in the year 2025 alleging that he had illegally occupied the land of Anganwadi School. He further submitted that at the instance of the *de facto* complainant, another case in Crime No.83 of 2026 was registered against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 3, wherein the alleged offences are punishable with imprisonment for less than seven years and notice under Section 41-A of Cr.P.C., was also issued to them. He further submitted that LWs.1 to 13 have already been examined, however charge sheet is not yet been filed, hence, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioners- accused Nos.1 to 3.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed for grant of bail and submitted that though the substantial part of the investigation has been completed, charge sheet is yet to be filed. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, upon instructions, placed on record the medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Jagtial, wherein it is recorded that no external injuries were found on the *de facto* complainant.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioners herein have been in judicial custody since 01.10.2026. As seen from the remand

case diary, it is evident that statements of prosecution witnesses LWs 1 to 13 have already been recorded. Thus the material witnesses have already been examined during the investigation. Though the charge sheet is yet to be filed, the prosecution has not brought to the notice of this Court any specific circumstance necessitating the further detention of the petitioners. Further the medical certificate placed on record indicates that no external injuries were found on the *de facto* complainant. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, injuries suffered by the de-facto complainant, the stage of investigation and the duration of incarceration, this Court is inclined to grant bail to petitioners/ accused Nos.1 to 3, subject to the following conditions:

i. The petitioners – accused Nos.1 to 3 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Jagtial.

ii. The petitioners – accused Nos.1 to 3 shall appear before the concerned SHO

between 11:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioners – accused Nos.1 to 3 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of the BNSS).

iv. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, J

Date: 18.11.2026
pld

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18127 of 2026

DATE: 18.11.2026

pld