IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.18079 and 18081 of 2026

(CNR No. HBHC010758382026 (Crl.P.No. 18079 of 2026)

(CNR No. HBHC010758342026 (Crl.P.No.18081 of 2026)

DATED: 11.11.2026

Crl.P.No.18079 of 2026

Between:

Ramavath Gandhi

... Petitioner-Accused No.3

And

The State of Telangana

... Respondent-Complainant

Crl.P.No.18081 of 2026

Between:

Ramavath Motilal

... Petitioner-Accused No.2

And

The State of Telangana

... Respondent-Complainant

COMMON ORDER

1. These Criminal Petitions are filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) praying this Court to enlarge the petitioners on bail who are arrayed as accused Nos.3 and 2 in connection with FIR.No.531 of 2026 of P.S. Balapur, Hyderabad, registered for the offences

punishable under Sections 318(4), 178, 181, 61(2) read with Section 3(5) of the BNS.

2. Heard Sri Hanumanth Rao, learned counsel for petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent - State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the prosecution case relies substantially on physical notes and articles allegedly seized during the operation and search. Prosecution should identify any specific further recovery or custodial interrogation that cannot be pursued while the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 are on bail. Petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 are first-time offenders, who have no criminal antecedents, they are law-abiding citizens and they have satisfied the triple test for grant of bail. Petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 are arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2026. Entire investigation is completed and prayed to enlarge the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that charge sheet came to be filed before the concerned Court on 01.10.2026.

5. Sri S.Koteswara Rao, SI of Police, has lodged a report before P.S., Balapur on 02.09.2026. It is stated in the complaint that he and his staff apprehended the motor cycle along with three persons, they conducted search, during the search currency notes resembling Indian currency in denominations of Rs.500/- and Rs.100/- were found in possession of accused Nos.1 to 3. Basing on the said complaint, FIR came to be registered.

6. Petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 along with accused No.1 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2026.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of arguments has relied on the decisions in the cases of (i)Sanjay Chandra v. CBI[1] (ii) Dataram Singh v. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others[2] (iii) State of Rajasthan, Jaipur v. Balchand[3]. The above said decisions relates to the grant of bail and the triple test.

8. Rs.500/- counterfeit currency notes of 160 (Rs.80,000/-) are seized from the possession of petitioner No.2-accused No.2, Rs.500/- counterfeit currency notes of 44 (Rs.22,000/-) and

[1] MANU/SC/1375/2011
[2] MANU/SC/0085/2018
[3] MANU/SC/0152/1977

Rs.100/- counterfeit currency notes of 58 (Rs.5800/-) are seized from petitioner No.1-accused No.3.

9. Since charge sheet came to be filed before the concerned Court, the question of influencing the witnesses by the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 does not arise. This Court is conscious of the fact that counterfeit currency are seized from the possession of the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2, if stringent conditions are imposed on the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2, will meet ends of justice. Hence, the petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 are entitled to be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

i. The petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.
ii. The petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
iii. Petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 shall not involve in similar offence.
iv. The petitioners-accused Nos.3 and 2 shall not directly or indirectly influence,

threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

v. It is made clear that if any of the above conditions are violated, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail.

10. Accordingly, the Criminal petitions are allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO, J

Date:11.11.2026
pss

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.18079 and 18081 of 2026

(CNR No. HBHC010758382026 (Crl.P.No. 18079 of 2026)
(CNR No. HBHC010758342026 (Crl.P.No.18081 of 2026)

DATED: 11.11.2026

pss