IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18047 OF 2026

CNR.No. HBHC010756062026

DATE: 06.11.2026

BETWEEN:

Degavath Ravi … Petitioner – Accused No.9

And

The State of Telangana,
though S.H.O. P.S.Dundigal, Cyberabad Commisisonerate,
Represented by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of judicature at Hyderabad.
… Respondent – Complainant

ORDER

1. This memorandum of Criminal Petition is filed under Section 480 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner – A9 on bail in connection with Crime No.922 of 2026 of P.S. Dundigal, Cyberabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner – A9 are under Sections 310(2) r/w 3(5) of BNS.

2 Heard Sri S.Surender Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner – A9 and Sri D.Arun Kumar learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even according to the case of the prosecution there is no independent evidence with regard to the alleged aid of the petitioner- A9 in the commission of the offence, there is no evidence about his presence at the scene of offence, these facts clearly proves that the petitioner- A9 is falsely implicated in the case. Petitioner – A9 is a labour and having reputation in the village. Police has completed the investigation and the bail application [Crl.M.P.No.493 of 2026] filed by the petitioner- A9 before the learned Sessions Court came to be dismissed on 12.10.2026. A8 – A.Ramesh is enlarged on bail by this Court on 28.10.2026, the same parity be extended to the petitioner – A9 and prayed to enlarge the petitioner- A9 on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the role played by the petitioner – A9 is clearly depicted in the remand case diary.

5. S.Ramesh has lodged a report before P.S. 16.09.2026. It is stated in the complaint that the approximately at 4:00 PM, a person residing next to his house called him and informed him that some thieves had entered his house. Complainant immediately returned home from Chintal. Upon reaching his house, he found that the household articles and belongings had been scattered and were in a disorganized condition, he asked his wife what had

happened, in turn she stated that out of four persons two persons caught hold of her hands and other two persons caught her by the neck stating that they would kill her if she did not hand over the keys of the almarah, they also threatened the family members. They have stolen some cash, 1 Kg of silver anklets, 1 Kg, 1 kg Kadis, ½ silver Kadis, 3 tulas Ammavari locket, gold chain, 2 tulas gold ring. Basing on the said complaint, FIR came to be registered against unknown accused.

6. Petitioner-A9 along with A7, A8 and A10 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.09.2026, since then they are in jail.

7. Remand case diary goes to show that petitioner – A9 along with A7, A8 and A10 were noticed while moving suspectbly by LW18, on search of the car they found some silver ornaments and recovered them.

8. Petitioner – A9 has filed Crl.M.P.No.493 of 2026 for grant of regular bail before the learned Sessions Court and the same came to be dismissed vide order dated 12.10.2026 by observing that serious allegations are levelled against the petitioner – A9.

9. A8- A.Ramesh has filed Crl.P.No.17026 of 2026 before this Court for grant of regular bail, the same came to be allowed vide

order dated 28.10.2026. Petitioner – A9 is entitled for parity of the order of A8 – A.Ramesh dated 28.10.2026.

10. Record goes to show that major portion of the investigation is completed, detaining the petitioner – A9 further in judicial custody will not serve the purpose. Hence, the petitioner – A9 is entitled to be enlarged on bail on the following conditions:

i. The petitioner – A9 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Medchal.

ii. The petitioner – A9 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 10.00 a.m. to 05.00 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight [08] weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation and thereafter as and when required.

iii. The petitioner – A9 shall not involve in similar offence.

iv. The petitioner – A9 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

v. The petitioner – A9 shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

vi. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

11. Accordingly, Crl.P.No.18047 of 2026 is allowed.

Miscellaneous petition/s, if any, pending shall stand closed.

_____

B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO, J

Date: 06.11.2026
Dua

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.18047 of 2026

DATE: 06.11.2026

Dua