IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NOS: 17931, 17932 and 18209 OF 2026

CRLP. No.17931 OF 2026

Between:

1. Nitesh Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Vijay Kumar Vargiya, aged 46 years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Krishnakunj, Street No.12, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
2. Bommidi Ashok Reddy @ Ashok, S/o.B. Ranga Reddy, aged 53 years, Occ:Stock Market Estate, R/o.Plot No. 1, Flat No. 201, Sreeven Nest Apartments, Road No.3, Haripuri Colony, Chintalakunta, L.B. Nagar, K.V. Ranga Reddy District

(both were arrested and remanded on 17-10-2026 now at Chenchalguda Central prison Rep by interested person) Hrithik Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Late Rajesh Vijay Vargiya, Age 32 Years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad Telangana.

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSEDS Nos.4 & 6

AND

The State of Telangana, Police, P.S. EOW Team-I, CCS, DD, Hyderabad, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records pertain to the impugned Petitioners remand Orders dated 17-10-2026 in CRIME No.137 OF 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, CCS, DD, Hyderabad) on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad., violation of Hon'ble High Court Orders in CRLP No.6206 of 2026, natural justice and

fundamental rights and laible to quash/set- a-side the same as abuse of process of law.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 438 R/w.528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim bail to the petitioners in Crime No.137 of 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, CCS, DD, Hyderabad and pass.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impuged remand orders dated 17-10-2026 in CRIME No.137 OF 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad) on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad.

CRLP.No.17932 OF 2026

Between:

1. Nitesh Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Vijay Kumar Vargiya, aged 46 years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Krishnakunj, Street No. 12, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
2. Bommidi Ashok Reddy @ Ashok, S/o.B. Ranga Reddy, aged 53 years, Occ:Stock Market Estate, R/o.Plot No.1, Flat No. 201, Sreeven Nest Apartments, Road No. 3, Haripuri Colony, Chintalakunta, L.B. Nagar, K.V. Ranga Reddy District.

(both were remanded on 17-10-2026 now at Chenchalguda Central prison Rep by interested person) Hrithik Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Late Rajesh Vijay Vargiya, age 32 Years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad Telangana.

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED Nos.4 & 6

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Police, P.S. EOW Team-I, CCS, DD, Hyderabad, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. Dureddy Dheeraj, Director of M/s. HSR Homes Pvt Ltd, #8-2-603/23/3 and #8-2-603/23/15, Road No 10, Banjara Hills,Hyderabad-500034.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records pertain to the impugned Exparty Orders passed in CRLMP No.596 of 2026 in CRIME No.137 of 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad) dated 12-10-2026 on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad., which was passed without notice infringement/violation of Natural Justice and and liable to quash/set-a¬side the same as abuse of process of law.

I.A. NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 438 R/w. 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim bail to the petitioners in Crime No.137 of 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad and pass.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the impugned Ex-party orders passed in Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 in Crime No.137 of 2025 (P.S. EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad) dated 12.10.2026 on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad and pass.

CRLP.No.18209 OF 2026

Between:

1. Nitesh Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Vijay Kumar Vargiya, aged 46 years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Krishnakunj, Street No. 12, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad.
2. Bommidi Ashok Reddy@ Ashok, S/o. B. Ranga Reddy, aged 53 years, Occ:Stock Market Estate, R/o.Plot No. 1, Flat No. 201, Sreeven Nest Apartments, Road No. 3, Haripuri Colony, Chintalakunta,
   L.B. Nagar, K.V. Ranga Reddy District.

   (both were arrested and remanded on 17-10-2026 now at Chenchalguda Central prison Rep by interested person) Hrithik Vijay Vargiya, S/o. Late Rajesh Vijay Vargiya, age 32 Years, Occ:Business, R/o.H.No.3-6-709, Himayat Nagar, Hyderabad Telangana.

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED Nos.4 & 6

AND

The State of Telangana, Police, P.S. EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records in Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 in Crime No.137 of 2025, dated 29.10.2026 on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad, quash/set aside the illegal and unreasoned order granting 5 days police custody in complete violation of the principles of natural justice.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the Orders in Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 in Crime No.137 of 2025, dated 29.10.2026 on the file of the Court of the XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri GUNDLAPALLI V.S.S.SRUTHI, Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor (TG) on behalf of the Sole Respondent.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos 17931, 17932 and 18209 of 2026
(CNR.Nos. HBHC010749812026, HBHC010749652026 and HBHC010759422026)

DATE: 02.11.2026

Between :

Nitesh Vijay Vargiya and another

....Petitioners/accused Nos.4 and 6

A N D

The State of Telangana

.... Respondent
in Crl.P.Nos.17931 and 18209 of 2026

The State of Telangana and another

.... Respondents
in Crl.P.No.17932 of 2026

: COMMON ORDER :

Criminal Petition No.17931 of 2026 has been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.4 and 6, aggrieved by the order, dated 17.10.2026, passed by the learned XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad (for short, 'the learned trial Court') in Crime No.137 of 2025 of Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad, whereby the petitioners were remanded to judicial custody till 30.10.2026.

1.1 Criminal Petition No.17932 of 2026 has been filed by the petitioners, aggrieved by the order, dated 12.10.2026, passed by the learned trial Court in Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 in Crime No.137 of 2025 of Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad, whereby the petition filed by the prosecution seeking permission to arrest the petitioners on the ground that they violated the terms and conditions of notice issued under Section 35(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS') and not cooperating for investigation, was allowed.

1.2. Criminal Petition No.18209 of 2026 has been filed by the petitioners, aggrieved by the order, dated 29.10.2026, passed by the learned trial Court in Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 in Crime No.137 of 2025 of Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad, whereby the petition filed by the prosecution for grant of police custody for a period of seven (7) days for the purpose of investigation, was allowed granting police custody for a period of five (5) days from 30.10.2026 to 03.11.2026 with certain conditions.

2. As these three criminal petitions have been filed arising out of Crime No.137 of 2025 of Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad, and the petitioners are one and the same, all these three (3) criminal petitions are being heard together and disposed of by this common order.

3. Brief facts of the case:

3.1. The case of respondent No.2/*de-facto* complainant in Crl.P.No.17932 of 2026, being the Director of M/s HSR Homes Private Limited, lodged a complaint on 16.10.2024 against Raj Kumar Rai, Managing Director of M/s Ananya Infra Projects Private Limited and others stating that accused No.1 had entered into a written Agreement of Sale on 14.09.2018, *vide* document bearing No. 7519 of 2018 and a subsequent Memorandum of Understanding, dated 15.02.2022. The agreement relates to the purchase of land in Survey Nos. 93, 94, 95, 97, and 99 to the extent of Ac.34-25 guntas, for a total sale consideration of Rs.8,37,95,000/- and the same was paid to the accused No.1's Company. Even after receiving the above said amount, they have failed to comply with the conditions to register the sale deed, on the other hand, with a malafide intention and ulterior motive hatched a plan to cheat his Company. Further the petitioners along with other accused colluded with each other and they were hiding and dodging the matter on one pretext or the other. Thereby the petitioners and other accused have cheated his Company and committed criminal intimidation by threatening to eliminate and dispossess them from the subject properties with the help of unsocial elements. Basing on the said complaint, the S.H.O., Banjarahills Police Station, Hyderabad, registered a case in Crime No.1003 of 2024 against one Raj Kumar Raj, Managing Director, Ananya Infra

Projects Pvt. Ltd. and others, for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 506 read with 34 of the IPC. Thereafter, the crime has been transferred to the Police Station, CCS, DD, Hyderabad and re-registered as Crime No.137 of 2025, wherein the petitioners were arrayed as accused Nos.4 and 6. The petitioners have approached this Court and filed Crl.P.No.6206 of 2026 seeking to grant anticipatory bail. In the said case, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, during the course of hearing, submitted that the petitioners were served notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS and the question of arresting the petitioners does not arise. Taking into consideration the said submissions, this Court disposed of the said criminal petition and directed the Investigating Officer to follow the procedure laid down under Section 35(3) of the BNSS/Section 41-A of Cr.P.C. and also the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar**[1], by its order dated 30.04.2026.

3.2. While the matter stood thus, the Investigating Officer basing upon the investigation, added the other offences i.e. Sections 467, 468 and 471 of IPC to the existing Sections 406, 420 and 506 r/w 34 of IPC. The Investigating Officer had approached the learned trial Court and filed Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 seeking permission to arrest the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners have violated the

[1] (2014) 8 SCC 273

terms and conditions of the notice issued under Section 35(3) of the BNSS and failed to cooperate with the investigation. The learned trial Court allowed the said petition on 12.10.2026 and the petitioners were arrested and produced before the learned trial Court on 17.10.2026. The learned trial Court remanded the petitioners to judicial custody till 30.10.2026. Thereafter, the prosecution filed Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 seeking permission for the police custody of the petitioners for a period of seven days for the purpose of investigation. The trial Court allowed the said petition and granted police custody for a period of five (5) days i.e. from 30.10.2026 to 03.11.2026 and to produce the petitioners on 03.11.2026 on or before 4-00 p.m. with certain conditions.

4. Heard Mr. J. Prabhakar, learned Senior Counsel representing Mrs. G.V.S.S. Sruthi, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. P. Gangaiah Naidu, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. M. Phanindra Pavan Kashyap, learned counsel for respondent No.2 in Crl.P.No.17932 of 2026, and Mr. Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1-State.

5. Submissions of the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners:

5.1. Learned Senior Counsel submitted that pursuant to the notice issued under Section 35(3) of the BNSS, the petitioners have submitted their reply and the petitioners are cooperating with the

investigation. The Investigating Officer, without assigning any reasons, much less specific reasons, approached the learned trial Court and filed Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 seeking permission to arrest the petitioners. The learned trial Court, without giving notice and opportunity to the petitioners, allowed the petition on 12.10.2026 behind their back and the same is in gross violation of the principles of natural justice and by virtue of the said order, the fundamental rights of the petitioners have been affected. The petitioners were arrested and they were produced before the learned trial Court and the petitioners have filed a Memo opposing the remand. The learned trial Court, without properly considering the objections raised by the petitioners, passed the remand order on 17.10.2026 remanding the petitioners to judicial custody till 30.10.2026 and subsequently granted police custody on 29.10.2026 basing upon the application filed by the prosecution in Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 till 03.11.2026. The impugned orders passed by the learned trial Court, dated 12.10.2026, 17.10.2026 and 29.10.2026, are contrary to law and also contrary to the provisions of Sections 35(5) and (6) of the BNSS.

5.2. In support of his contentions, learned Senior Counsel relied upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation and another**[2].

[2] (2026) 7 SCC 311

6. Submissions of learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.2:

6.1. *Per contra,* learned Senior Counsel submitted that the specific case of prosecution is that the prosecution issued notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS to the petitioners. However, the petitioners have not cooperated with the investigation and not produced the original documents which were in their custody. He further submitted initially the crime was registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of the IPC. As the punishment prescribed for the said offences is below seven years, the Investigating Officer had issued notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS to the petitioners. Subsequently, during the course of investigation, it was revealed that the petitioners have forged and fabricated the documents. Based on the above said information, the Investigating Officer added the offences under Sections 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC and the punishment for the said offences is more than seven years. Hence, the trial Court, on 12.10.2026, allowed the petition filed by the prosecution and permitted the police to arrest the petitioners by giving cogent reasons. Subsequently, the learned trial Court on 17.10.2026 remanded the petitioners into judicial custody till 30.10.2026. The order passed by the learned trial Court dated 12.10.2026 culminated in the subsequent order, dated 17.10.2026

and the period of judicial remand is already over by 30.10.2026 and the cause in these two criminal petitions has become infructuous.

6.2. In respect of Crl.P.No.18209 of 2026 is concerned, learned Senior Counsel submitted that the learned trial Court granted police custody from 30.10.2026 to 03.11.2026. The said period is going to be expired tomorrow and the petitioners are entitled to approach the learned trial Court and file a petition seeking to enlarge them on bail. The petitioners have not raised any specific ground as to how the police custody granted by the learned trial Court is illegal nor have they pointed out any procedural irregularity committed by the learned trial Court or the trial Court is lack of jurisdiction. Hence, there are no grounds to interfere with the impugned orders and all the criminal petitions are liable to be dismissed.

7. **Submissions of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor:**

7.1. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor reiterated the very same submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.2 and further submitted that the Investigating Officer has specifically mentioned the reasons as to why the arrest of the petitioners is required, especially when they availed benefit of Section 35(3) of the BNSS and failed to cooperate with the investigation and produce the key documents, which are in their possession and they made false claims before the NCLT. The learned trial Court has rightly allowed

the petition on 12.10.2026 and pursuant to the same, the petitioners were arrested and produced before the learned trial Court and they were sent to judicial remand till 30.10.2026 and on 29.10.2026, the learned trial Court granted police custody of the petitioners from 30.10.2026 to 03.11.2026 by giving cogent reasons. The petitioners have already filed a petition for grant of bail and the said petition is pending before the learned trial Court. The petitioners are entitled to put forth their grievance for grant of bail before the very same Court and there are no grounds to interfere with the impugned orders passed by the learned trial Court.

Analysis :

8. Having considered the rival submissions made by the respective parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, it reveals that respondent No.2/de-facto complainant in Crl.P.No.17932 of 2026, being the Director of M/s HSR Homes Private Limited, lodged a complaint on 16.10.2024 against Raj Kumar Rai, Managing Director of M/s Ananya Infra Projects Private Limited and others. Basing on the said complaint, the Station House Officer, Banjarahills Police Station, Hyderabad, registered a case in Crime No.1003 of 2024 and subsequently the said crime was transferred to CCS, DD, Hyderabad and re-numbered as Crime No.137 of 2025. The record further reveals that the petitioners had approached this Court and filed Crl.M.P.No.6206 of 2026 for grant of pre-arrest bail.

This Court on 30.04.2026 disposed of the said criminal petition based on the submissions made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that the Investigating Officer had already been served notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS to the petitioners and this Court directed the Investigating Officers to follow the procedure as contemplated under the provisions of Section 35(3) of the BNSS and the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar (supra).**

9. The record further reveals that subsequent to the said order, the offences under Sections 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC were added basing upon the material collected by the Investigating Officer. The Investigating Officer had approached the learned trial Court and filed Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 on 03.09.2026 seeking permission to arrest the petitioners, wherein it is stated that in spite of service of notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS, the petitioners had failed to produce the original documents and deliberately concealed the originals in order to prevent verification of their authenticity and they are not cooperating with the investigation agency and they are not producing the key documents, which are in their custody, and the same are required for investigation and also stated that the petitioners have made false claims before the NCLT. The learned trial Court, taking into consideration the averments made in the said petition and also relied upon the order dated 07.02.2022 in Crl.P.no.8324 of 2022 as

well as the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil** (*supra*), dated 16.07.2025 and 15.01.2026 in SLP (Crl.) No.5191 of 2021, allowed the petition filed by the Investigating Officer and granted permission, by its order dated 12.10.2026. Thereafter, the Investigating Officer arrested the petitioners and produced before the learned trial Court. The learned trial Court on 17.10.2026 remanded the petitioners to judicial custody till 30.10.2026.

10. Insofar as the submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners that pursuant to the petition in Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 filed by the Investigating Officer, the learned trial Court, without giving notice and opportunity to the petitioners, allowed the said petition on 12.10.2026 and passed the impugned order behind their back and granted permission to arrest the petitioners and the same is in gross violation of the principles of natural justice and by virtue of the said order, the fundamental rights of the petitioners are affected, which amounts to violation of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, are not tenable under law on the ground that the petitioners have not placed any relevant provision or any procedures that the learned trial Court ought to have issued notice and opportunity to the petitioners before passing the impugned order dated 12.10.2026.

11. Insofar as the other submission made by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners that the Investigating Officer, without

assigning any reasons, much less specific reasons, approached the learned trial Court and filed Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 seeking permission to arrest the petitioners and the same is contrary to the provisions of Section 35(5) and (6) of the BNSS is concerned, the Investigating Officer in Crl.M.P.No.596 of 2026 specifically mentioned the reasons that in spite of service of notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS, the petitioners failed to produce the key documents, which are in their custody, and they are not cooperated with the investigation and also they made false claims before the NCLT and also other reasons and that the said key documents, which are in their custody, are required for the purpose of investigation. Taking into consideration the above said averments made in the petition, the learned trial Court granted permission for arrest of the petitioners by giving reasons.

12. It is relevant to mentioned that the learned trial Court on 17.10.2026 remanded the petitioners to the judicial custody till 30.10.2026 and the said period is already elapsed and the police custody granted by the learned trial Court in Crl.M.P.No.681 of 2026 on 29.10.2026 for a period of five days i.e. from 30.10.2026 to 03.11.2026, is also going to be expired tomorrow i.e. 03.11.2026.

13. It is already stated *supra* that the learned trial Court on 12.10.2026, while recording the reasons granted permission for

arrest of the petitioners and the petitioners were remanded to judicial custody pursuant to the order, dated 17.10.2026, and also the learned trial Court granted police custody till 03.11.2026 by giving cogent reasons. Hence, this Court is of the considered view that the learned trial Court exercised its jurisdiction vested upon it and passed the impugned orders, dated 12.10.2026, 17.10.2026 and 29.10.2026, and this Court does not find any illegality, legal infirmity or jurisdictional error in the above said orders to exercise the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 528 of the BNSS.

14. At this juncture, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submitted that pursuant to the impugned order dated 29.10.2026, the Investigating Officer has been interrogating the petitioners during day and night and not permitting their counsel to be present. Unless condition No.3 of the impugned order is modified and issue necessary direction to the Investigating Officer to interrogate the petitioners during day time only, the petitioners will be put to great hardship and also requested this Court to issue necessary directions to the learned trial Court to consider the bail petition filed by the petitioners uninfluenced by any of the observations made either in this order or in the impugned orders.

15. In reply to the above said submissions, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor based upon the oral instructions given by the

Investigating Officer, who was appeared before this Court in person, submitted that the Investigating Officer/investigating agency has been conducting the investigation from 11-00 a.m. to 6-00 p.m. only and has also been permitting the petitioners' counsel to be present during the course of investigation/interrogation. He further submitted that the investigating Officer would conduct the investigation tomorrow from 11-00 a.m. to 3-00 p.m. and produce the petitioners before the trial Court on or before 4-00 p.m. in compliance with the order dated 29.10.2026.

16. Taking into consideration the above said submissions made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the Investigating Officer is directed to permit the petitioners to have their counsel of their choice during the course of investigation/interrogation and the Investigating Officer is further directed to comply with the order dated 29.10.2026 and accordingly, condition No.3 of the impugned order dated 29.10.2026 is modified to the above extent.

17. For the foregoing reasons, Crl.P.Nos.17931 and 17932 of 2026 are dismissed and Crl.P.No.18209 of 2026 is disposed of to the extent indicated above. It is needless to mention that the learned trial Court is directed to consider the bail petition filed by the petitioners in Crime No.137 of 2025, in accordance with law, uninfluenced by any

of the observations made by this Court in this order or in the impugned orders.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, EOW Team-I, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to SRI. GUNDLAPALLI V.S.S.SRUTHI, Advocate [OPUC].
4. One CC to SRI. M. PHANINDRA PAVAN KASHYAP, Advocate [OPUC].
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT] .
6. Two CD Copies.

STB/KAM

HIGH COURT

DATED: 02/11/2026



COMMON ORDER

CRIMINAL PETITION NOS: 17931, 17932 and 18209 OF 2026

CRLP.Nos.17931, 17932 OF 2026 ARE DISMISSED

CRLP.No. 18209 OF 2026 IS DISPOSED OF

13/11/26