IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 17866 OF 2026

Between:

1. Gadala Anil @ Anil Gaddala, S/o. Shankar, Age.24 years, Occ.Driver, R/o. H.No. 22-30, Mahankali Wada, Chennur Village and Mandal. Mancherial District-504201.
2. Yellanki Veeran Kumar, S/o. Yellenki Viren Kumar, S/o. Badraiah, Age.46 years, Occ.Business, R/o. H.No. 8-4- 600/1, Ganesh Nagar, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District-505001

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad through S.H.O., P.S. Bheemaram, Mancherial District.

...RESPONDENT

2. Ch. Sravanthi, W/o. Unknown, Age. Major, Occ.Deputy Tahsildar, Civil Supplies Department, Mancherial, R/o. Mancherial, Mancherial District.

...RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 528 OF BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records relating to C.C. No.585 OF 2024 on the file of the Hon'ble Judicial First-Class Magistrate at Chennur. Mancherial District against the Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 2 and quash the same and be pleased

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri ANUGANTI PRANEETH, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri Jitnender Rao Veeramalla Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondents

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.17866 of 2026
CNR No.HBHC010747532026

Date: 28.10.2026

Between:

Gadala Anil @ Anil Gaddala and another

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana, and another

...Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.585 of 2024, on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate at Chennur, Mancherial District.

2. Heard Mr.Anuganti Praneeth, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondents.

3. The specific allegation against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 is that they have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries at cheaper rate to sell the same

for profit and on 07.11.2024, the petitioners were found in possession of 36 quintals of PDS rice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that without there being any complaint from any beneficiary, alleging that the rice was procured deceptively or with a criminal intent and charging the petitioners for prosecution is untenable and improper. The allegations, even taken at their face value cannot be sustained against the petitioners. Further, this Court, in Crl.P.No.5709 of 2019, while considering the same situation, categorically observed that the offences alleged against the petitioners therein could not be continued and accordingly quashed the proceedings. The petitioners are also entitled to the same relief and hence prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that as per prosecution, the offences said to have been committed by the petitioners is cheating and violation of Section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 (for short 'EC Act') and requested to pass appropriate orders.

6. Section 7 of the EC Act contemplate that any person contravenes with the production, supply, distribution and trade of essential commodities, is punishable. As per the prosecution, the petitioners have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries after supply from the dealer.

7. A Coordinate Bench of this Court in Crl.P.No.7227 of 2025 has considered the identical facts and observed that:

> *"There is no averment indicating that the petitioner in any way deceptively induced the beneficiaries to part with the supplied PDS rice or the beneficiary entrusted the PDS rice purchased by them with the petitioner and they dishonestly misappropriated or converted to their own use or used it in violation of a lawful direction or contract. In the absence of essential factors, on the face of prosecution, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023. Thus, continuance of proceedings against the petitioner is abuse of process of law".*

8. The facts and circumstances of the present case also similar to those in the above case and hence, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS by applying the same analogy and to quash the proceedings against the petitioners herein.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.585 of 2024, on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate at Chennur, Mancherial District, against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2, are hereby quashed.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- C. DEEPIKA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judicial First-Class Magistrate at Chennur, Mancherial District
2. The Station House Officer, Bheemaram Police Station, Mancherial District.
3. One CC to SRI. ANUGANTI PRANEETH Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

GV/GNK

HIGH COURT

DATED: 28/10/2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.17866 of 2026



ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

8 copies
KJ
3/11/26