IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

I.A. NO: 1 AND 2 OF 2026
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 16009, 16010 AND 16330 OF 2025

CRLP NO: 16009 OF 2025

Between:

1. Ranga Barathalaxmi, W/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged. 62 Years,Occ. Housewife, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.
2. Ramulu Ranga, S/o Laxmi Rajam, Aged. 72-Years, Occ. Rtd. Employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No. 2 & 3

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Through PS Pet-Basheerabad, Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged. 32 Years, Occ.Not known to the Petitioners, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the entire proceedings in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at. LB Nagar, as against the Petitioners/Accused No.2 and 3.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including appearance against petitioners/Accused No. 2 and 3 herein in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the

VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at. LB Nagar, pending disposal of the main criminal petition.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Between:

Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged. 32 Years, Occ. House wife, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...PETITIONER/RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

AND

1. Ranga Barathalaxmi, W/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged. 62 Years,Occ. Housewife, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.
2. Ramulu Ranga, S/o Laxmi Rajam, Aged. 72-Years, Occ. Rtd. Employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...RESPONDENTS/PETITONERS/ACCUSED No. 2 & 3

3. The State of Telangana, Through Women Police Station Uppal, Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compromise the case in CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 359(2) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar, by compounding the offence in terms of compromise.

CRLP NO: 16010 OF 2025

Between:

1. Ranga Laxmana Kumar, S/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged. 36 Years,Occ. Pvt Employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

2. Anusha Ranga, W/o Suresh, Aged. 35 Years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No. 4 & 5

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Through PS Pet-Basheerabad, Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged . 32 Years, Occ. Not known to the Petitioners, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the entire proceedings in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at. LB Nagar, as against the Petitioners/Accused No.4 and 5.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including appearance against petitioners/Accused No. 4 and 5 herein in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at. LB Nagar, pending disposal of the main criminal petition.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Between:

Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged . 32 Years, Occ. House Wife, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...PETITIONER/RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

AND

1. Ranga Laxmana Kumar, S/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged. 36 Years,Occ. Pvt Employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1, Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.
2. Anusha Ranga @ K. Anusha W/o Suresh, Aged. 35 Years, Occ. Household, R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No. 4 & 5

3. The State of Telangana, Through Women PS Uppal, Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compromise the case in CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 359(2) praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar, by compounding the offence in terms of compromise.

CRLP NO: 16330 OF 2025

Between:

Srinivasa Ranga, S/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged 39 Years,Occ Software employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1, Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State - 504208

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No. 1

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Through Woman PS (Rachakonda) Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad
2. Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged 32 Years, Occ Not known to the Petitioners, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the entire proceedings in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the VI Addl Metropolitan Magistrate Medchal Malkajgiri District Uppal at LB Nagar as against the Petiitoner/Accused No 1.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including appearance against petitioner/Accused No.1 herein in CC No. 1339 of 2024 On the file of the VI Addl Metropolitan Magistrate Medchal Malkajgiri District Uppal at LB Nagar pending disposal of the main Criminal Petition.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Between:

Swathi Tadaka, W/o Srinivasa Ranga Aged 32 Years, Occ Not known to the Petitioners, R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram, Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...PETITIONER/RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

AND

1. Srinivasa Ranga, S/o Ramulu Ranga, Aged 39 Years, Occ Software employee, R/o.H.No.19-135/1, Ramnagar, Mancherial District, Telangana State – 504208

...RESPONDENT/PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

2. The State of Telangana, Through Woman Police Station Uppal, Rep by Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Section 359(6) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to compromise the case in CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 359(2) of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the CC No.1339 of 2024, on the file of VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri at LB Nagar, by compounding the offence in terms of compromise.

These Petitions coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Rajashekar, Advocate for the Petitioners, Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 and of Sri B. Prathap Kumar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2026
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.16009, 16010 and 16330 of 2025

Date: 18.02.2026

Between :

Ranga Bharathalaxmi and another

....Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 and 3
in Crl.P.No.16009 of 2025

Ranga Laxmana Kumar and another

....Petitioners/Accused Nos.4 and 5
in Crl.P.No.16010 of 2025

Srinivasa Ranga

....Petitioner/Accused No.1
in Crl.P.No.16330 of 2025

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through PS Pet Basheerabad,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad
and another

....Respondents

:: COMMON ORDER ::

These Criminal Petitions have been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5 seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.1339 of 2024 on the file of the VI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal-Malkajgiri District, Uppal at L.B. Nagar, for the

offences under Sections 498-A and 506 of the IPC and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. Heard Mr. K. Rajashekar, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. B. Pratap Kumar, learned counsel for respondent No.2, and Mr. Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that during the pendency of the present Criminal Petition, at the instance of the well wishers, relatives and elders, the parties have settled the disputes pending between them and entered into compromise and filed Joint Memo. Accordingly, the parties have filed I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2026 in all the three criminal petitions seeking permission to compound the offences and to record the compromise respectively.

4. This Court *vide* order, dated 03.02.2026, directed the parties i.e., the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5 and respondent No.2, to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, on or before 10.02.2026 for their identification. In compliance of the said order, the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, has submitted a report, dated 09.02.2026, stating that the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5 and respondent No.2 were duly identified. It is further stated that the parties have willfully and without any coercion entered into compromise.

5. In view of the said report and also in view of the compromise entered between the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as the submissions made by the respective parties, I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2026 are allowed in all the three criminal petitions. Consequently, the proceedings in C.C.No.1339 of 2024 on the file of the VI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal-Malkajgiri District, Uppal at L.B. Nagar, are hereby quashed against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5.

6. Accordingly, Criminal Petition Nos.16009, 16010 and 16330 of 2025 are allowed, subject to payment of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) to the High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, and Rs.10,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates' Association, Hyderabad, by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 5, on or before 28.02.2026.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at LB Nagar
2. The Station House Officer, Women Police Station, Uppal, Rachakonda District
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri K. Rajashekar, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri B. Pratap Kumar, Advocate [OPUC]

6. Two CD Copies

(Along with a copy of Joint Memo)

VH/BA

NOTE:

Total Amount of Rs. 35,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand only) has been paid by Sri K. Rajashekar, Counsel for the Petitioners as directed by the Hon'ble Court vide orcer dated 18-02-2026 as Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to High Court Legal Services Committee, Hyderabad, and Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the Telangana High Court Advocates Association, Hyderabad and filed Memo as proof of compliance USR No. 26079 of 2026 dated 02-03-2026.

HIGH COURT

DATED: 18/02/2026

COMMON ORDER
I.A. NO: 1 and 2 of 2026
IN/AND
CRLP.No.16009, 16010
and 16330 of 2025



ALLOWING ALL THE
CRIMINAL PETITIONS

11/3/26

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

I.A.NO. OF 2026

in

CRL.P.No.16009 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1.Ranga Barathalaxmi W/o Ramulu Ranga,
Aged: 62 Years,Occ: Housewife,
R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar,
Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

2. Ramulu Ranga S/o Laxmi Rajam,
Aged: 72 Years, Occ: Rtd. Employee,
R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar,
Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2 & 3

AND

1. The State of Telangana
Through Women PS Uppal,
Rep by Public Prosecutor
High Court at Hyderabad.

2. Swathi Tadaka W/o Srinivasa Ranga
Aged : 32 Years, Occ: Housewife,
R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram,
Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...Respondents

JOINT MEMO

May it please your lordship,

1. It is submitted that as per the complaint states that the 2nd Respondent/de-facto complainant married Accused No.1 on 22.02.2015 at Hyderabad as per Hindu rites. She further states that at the time of marriage, her parents paid Rs.10,00,000/- in cash, gave 20 tulas of gold, silver articles worth Rs.1,50,000/-, household articles and incurred about Rs.15,00,000/- towards

R. వరలక్ష్మి R.Ranga

marriage expenses. After marriage, she resided with Accused Nos.1 to 5 at Mancherial. In March 2015, Accused No.1 left for the USA for employment, and thereafter the complainant stayed intermittently with her parents and in-laws. She further states that during this period, all the accused subjected her to mental harassment, that Accused No.1 abused and suspected her character over phone on the instigation of his family, monitored her movements through mobile applications, interfered with her personal communications and exercised controlling behaviour, which she initially tolerated believing it to be possessiveness.

2. It is further state that on 04.05.2015, the complainant joined Accused No.1 in the USA, where she alleges that mental harassment continued and that Accused No.1 insulted her during family functions in India in December 2015. She further alleges unauthorized access to her email and private communications, emotional manipulation, denial of marital relationship for several years on alleged medical grounds, and humiliation regarding her appearance. The complainant states that they were blessed with a male child. She further states that in February 2020, Accused No.1 assaulted her in the USA, compelled her to give false medical history due to visa

constraints, and continued emotional and physical neglect thereafter.

3. The complainant further states that in September 2023, Accused No.1 falsely claimed job relocation to India, left her and the minor child in the USA without financial support, cancelled credit facilities, threatened immigration consequences, and forced her return to India. She alleges denial of maintenance, refusal to accommodate her and the child, threats by in-laws during reconciliation attempts, and subsequent abandonment by Accused No.1, who allegedly returned to the USA, cancelled health insurance, removed household belongings, and denied all responsibility. Based on her complaint, Women Police Station, Uppal registered Crime No.108 of 2024 for offences under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against Accused Nos.1 to 5, and after investigation, charge sheet was filed resulting in C.C. No.1339 of 2024 before the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal–Malkajgiri at L.B. Nagar.

4. It is submitted that due to differences and misunderstandings between Accused No.1 and Respondent No.2, a case has filed against all the family members of the petitioners. Subsequently, during the mediation, the matter has been

amicably settled out of court, and a Memorandum of Understanding dated 24.01.2026 has been executed. The said Memorandum of Understanding is filed along with the compromise petition and forms part and parcel thereof. In view of the said settlement, the de-facto complainant has come forward to withdraw the complaint and is filing this joint memo seeking withdrawal of the above case.

5. In view of the matter being amicably settled out of Court, Defacto Complainant ready to withdraw the case against the petitioners. Considering the above circumstances, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB Nagar.

6. That in view of the above, the Defacto Complainant do not wish to support the prosecution or continue with the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. He has no objection whatsoever if the Hon'ble Court is pleased to quash the said Charge sheet

For the reasons stated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to take this joint memo entered between the Petitioners and Respondent No.2 on record and may be

pleased to quash the proceedings against the Petitioners/Accused No.2 & 3 CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB Nagar and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Hence, this Joint Memo.

HYDERABAD
DATE: .01.2026

Counsel for Petitioner

Petitioner No.1

Petitioner No.2

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

I.A.NO. OF 2026

in

CRL.P.No.16010 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1.Ranga Laxmana Kumar S/o Ramulu Ranga,
Aged: 36 Years,Occ: Pvt Employee,
R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar,
Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

2. Anusha Ranga @K.Anusha W/o Suresh,
Aged: 35 Years, Occ: Household,
R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar,
Mancherial District, Telangana State-504208.

...Petitioners/Accused No.4&5.

AND

1. The State of Telangana
Through Women PS Uppal,
Rep by Public Prosecutor
High Court at Hyderabad.

2. Swathi Tadaka W/o Srinivasa Ranga
Aged : 32 Years, Occ: Housewife,
R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram,
Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.

...Respondents

JOINT MEMO

May it please your lordship,

1. It is submitted that as per the complaint states that the 2nd Respondent/de-facto complainant married Accused No.1 on 22.02.2015 at Hyderabad as per Hindu rites. She further states that at the time of marriage, her parents paid Rs.10,00,000/- in cash, gave 20 tulas of gold, silver articles worth Rs.1,50,000/-, household articles and incurred about Rs.15,00,000/- towards

marriage expenses. After marriage, she resided with Accused Nos.1 to 5 at Mancherial. In March 2015, Accused No.1 left for the USA for employment, and thereafter the complainant stayed intermittently with her parents and in-laws. She further states that during this period, all the accused subjected her to mental harassment, that Accused No.1 abused and suspected her character over phone on the instigation of his family, monitored her movements through mobile applications, interfered with her personal communications and exercised controlling behaviour, which she initially tolerated believing it to be possessiveness.

2. It is further state that on 04.05.2015, the complainant joined Accused No.1 in the USA, where she alleges that mental harassment continued and that Accused No.1 insulted her during family functions in India in December 2015. She further alleges unauthorized access to her email and private communications, emotional manipulation, denial of marital relationship for several years on alleged medical grounds, and humiliation regarding her appearance. The complainant states that they were blessed with a male child. She further states that in February 2020, Accused No.1 assaulted her in the USA, compelled her to give false medical history due to visa

constraints, and continued emotional and physical neglect thereafter.

3. The complainant further states that in September 2023, Accused No.1 falsely claimed job relocation to India, left her and the minor child in the USA without financial support, cancelled credit facilities, threatened immigration consequences, and forced her return to India. She alleges denial of maintenance, refusal to accommodate her and the child, threats by in-laws during reconciliation attempts, and subsequent abandonment by Accused No.1, who allegedly returned to the USA, cancelled health insurance, removed household belongings, and denied all responsibility. Based on her complaint, Women Police Station, Uppal registered Crime No.108 of 2024 for offences under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against Accused Nos.1 to 5, and after investigation, charge sheet was filed resulting in C.C. No.1339 of 2024 before the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal–Malkajgiri at L.B. Nagar.

4. It is submitted that due to differences and misunderstandings between Accused No.1 and Respondent No.2, who are husband and wife, a case came to be filed against all the family members of the petitioners. Subsequently, with the

intervention of well-wishers and elders of both parties, the matter has been amicably settled out of court, and a Memorandum of Understanding dated 24.01.2026 has been executed. The said Memorandum of Understanding is filed along with the compromise petition and forms part and parcel thereof. In view of the said settlement, the de-facto complainant has come forward to withdraw the complaint and is filing this joint memo seeking withdrawal of the above case.

5. In view of the matter being amicably settled out of Court, Defacto Complainant ready to withdraw the case against the petitioners. Considering the above circumstances, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB Nagar.

6. That in view of the above, the Defacto Complainant do not wish to support the prosecution or continue with the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. He has no objection whatsoever if the Hon'ble Court is pleased to quash the said Charge sheet

R. Lavanya

For the reasons stated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to take this joint memo entered between the Petitioners and Respondent No.2 on record and may be pleased to quash the proceedings against the Petitioners/Accused No.4 & 5 CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB Nagar and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Hence, this Joint Memo.

HYDERABAD
DATE: .01.2026

Counsel for Petitioner

Petitioner No.1

Petitioner No.2

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

I.A.NO. OF 2026

in

CRL.P.No.16330 OF 2025

BETWEEN:
Srinivasa Ranaga S/o Ramulu Ranga,
Aged: 39 Years,Occ: Software employee,
R/o.H.No.19-135/1,Ramnagar,
Mancherial District, Telangana State - 504208.
...Petitioner / Accused No.1

AND

1. The State of Telangana
Through Women PS Uppal,
Rep by Public Prosecutor
High Court at Hyderabad.

2. Swathi Tadaka W/o Srinivasa Ranga
Aged : 32 Years, Occ: Housewife,
R/o. H.No. 33-153/P-106, Shakthi Nagar, Rk Puram,
Neredmet, Malkajgiri Mandal, Medchal Malkajgiri District.
...Respondents

JOINT MEMO

May it please your lordship,

1. It is submitted that as per the complaint states that the 2nd Respondent/de-facto complainant married Accused No.1 on 22.02.2015 at Hyderabad as per Hindu rites. She further states that at the time of marriage, her parents paid Rs.10,00,000/- in cash, gave 20 tulas of gold, silver articles worth Rs.1,50,000/-, household articles and incurred about Rs.15,00,000/- towards marriage expenses. After marriage, she resided with Accused Nos.1 to 5 at Mancherial. In March 2015, Accused No.1 left for the USA for employment, and thereafter the complainant

R. Srinivas

stayed intermittently with her parents and in-laws. She further states that during this period, all the accused subjected her to mental harassment, that Accused No.1 abused and suspected her character over phone on the instigation of his family, monitored her movements through mobile applications, interfered with her personal communications and exercised controlling behaviour, which she initially tolerated believing it to be possessiveness.

2. It is further state that on 04.05.2015, the complainant joined Accused No.1 in the USA, where she alleges that mental harassment continued and that Accused No.1 insulted her during family functions in India in December 2015. She further alleges unauthorized access to her email and private communications, emotional manipulation, denial of marital relationship for several years on alleged medical grounds, and humiliation regarding her appearance. The complainant states that they were blessed with a male child. She further states that in February 2020, Accused No.1 assaulted her in the USA, compelled her to give false medical history due to visa constraints, and continued emotional and physical neglect thereafter.

3. The complainant further states that in September 2023, Accused No.1 falsely claimed job relocation to India, left her

R. Srinivas

and the minor child in the USA without financial support, cancelled credit facilities, threatened immigration consequences, and forced her return to India. She alleges denial of maintenance, refusal to accommodate her and the child, threats by in-laws during reconciliation attempts, and subsequent abandonment by Accused No.1, who allegedly returned to the USA, cancelled health insurance, removed household belongings, and denied all responsibility. Based on her complaint, Women Police Station, Uppal registered Crime No.108 of 2024 for offences under Sections 498-A and 506 IPC and Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against Accused Nos.1 to 5, and after investigation, charge sheet was filed resulting in C.C. No.1339 of 2024 before the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal–Malkajgiri at L.B. Nagar.

4. It is submitted that due to differences and misunderstandings between Accused No.1 and Respondent No.2, a case has filed against all the family members of the petitioners. Subsequently, during the mediation, the matter has been amicably settled out of court, and a Memorandum of Understanding dated 24.01.2026 has been executed. The said Memorandum of Understanding is filed along with the compromise petition and forms part and parcel thereof. In

R. Srinivas

view of the said settlement, the de-facto complainant has come forward to withdraw the complaint and is filing this joint memo seeking withdrawal of the above case.

5. In view of the matter being amicably settled out of Court, Defacto Complainant ready to withdraw the case against the petitioner. Considering the above circumstances, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB Nagar.

6. That in view of the above, the Defacto Complainant do not wish to support the prosecution or continue with the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. She has no objection whatsoever if the Hon'ble Court is pleased to quash the said Charge sheet

For the reasons stated above, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to take this joint memo entered between the Petitioner and Respondent No.2 on record and may be pleased to quash the proceedings against the Petitioner/Accused No.1CC No.1339/2024 on the file of the Hon'ble VI Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal Malkajgiri District, Uppal at: LB

R. Srinivas

Nagar and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Hence, this Joint Memo.

HYDERABAD
DATE: .01.2026

Counsel for Petitioner

R. Srinivas
Petitioner

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent