IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 34962 OF 2026

Between:

Kuricheti Nageswara Rao, S/o.Subba Rao, Age. 40 Years, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. H.No. 34-62-113, 2nd Line, Sujatha Nagar, near Taluka Police Station, Ongole, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh State.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Director General of Police, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Khammam District, Telangana State.
4. The Circle Inspector of Police, Wyra Police Station, Khammam District.
5. Pidathala Nageswara Rao, S/o. Biksham, Aged about 49 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No.5-34/A/1, Leela Sundaraiah Nagar, Wyra Village and Mandal, Khammam District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of Respondent No.4 in repeatedly calling the Petitioner over phone, requiring him to appear before the Police without issuing notice in accordance with law, insisting upon the Petitioner to settle the matter with Respondent No.5 and threatening him with implication in other criminal cases, as illegal, arbitrary, without authority of law and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct Respondent Nos.2 to 4, particularly

Respondent No.4, to proceed strictly in accordance with law on any complaint against the Petitioner and to issue an appropriate written notice under the applicable provisions of law if his presence is required for any enquiry, interrogation or investigation, or if any information or documents are required from him, specifying the purpose of his attendance or the information or documents required, without subjecting him to coercion for settlement of the demands of Respondent No.5.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct Respondent No.4 to issue appropriate written notice in accordance with law whenever the Petitioner's attendance, information or documents are required for any enquiry or investigation, and not to compel his appearance otherwise than by due process of law or pressurise him to settle with Respondent No.5.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI SANTHOSH SANGAM FOR SRI HARI CHANDRA ADITYA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: SRI LAXMIKANTH REDDY, AGP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: - - -

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION No. 34962 OF 2026

(CNR No. HBHC010716672026)

DATE: 27.10.2026

Between :

Kuricheti Nageswara Rao

... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad, and four others.

... Respondents.

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondent No. 4, the Circle Inspector of Police, Wyra Police Station, Khammam District, in repeatedly contacting the petitioner over the telephone and directing him to appear before the police without issuing any formal notice, as illegal, arbitrary, and contrary to law. It is further alleged that respondent No. 4 has been pressuring the petitioner to amicably settle the dispute with respondent No. 5 and threatening him

with the implication of criminal cases in the event of his failure to do so. The petitioner, therefore, seeks appropriate relief against such alleged coercive and arbitrary conduct on the part of respondent No. 4.

2. Heard Mr. Santhosh Sangam, learned counsel, representing Mr. Hari Chandra Aditya, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. R. Laxmikanth Reddy, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, appearing for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, based on a report lodged by one Kannekanti Saida Chary, Crime No. 411 of 2026 was registered at Khanapuram Haveli Police Station. It is further submitted that, based on the statement of admission made by respondent No.5, the petitioner herein has been arrayed as Accused No.2 in the said crime and that the investigation is presently pending. The petitioner, having approached the competent Sessions Court, has been granted anticipatory bail. Learned counsel further submits that respondent No.4, who is neither attached to the Police Station where the aforesaid crime is under investigation nor otherwise concerned with the dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.5, has been interfering in the matter and exerting pressure upon the petitioner. Aggrieved thereby, the present writ petition has been filed seeking appropriate directions.

4. Per contra, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on the basis of written instructions, submits that respondent No.5 lodged a police report dated 29.08.2026 before Wyra Police Station, which was entered in the General Diary. Thereafter, as part of the preliminary inquiry, the petitioner was called upon to appear before the police on the same day. The petitioner did not respond to the said request and, instead, filed the present writ petition. He further clarifies that no FIR has been registered in connection with the said report as on date and that, upon completion of the preliminary inquiry, appropriate steps would be taken in accordance with law.

5. I have considered the submissions and perused the material available on record.

6. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.4, without any lawful basis or authority, has been calling upon him to appear, pressurising him to settle the dispute and threatening to implicate him falsely in criminal cases. On the other hand, the explanation furnished by the prosecution indicates that respondent No.5 had lodged a police report before Wyra Police Station and that, in connection with the preliminary inquiry initiated on the basis of the said report, the presence of the petitioner was sought by the police. In view of the said explanation, the

contention of the petitioner that respondent No.4 had no basis whatsoever to call upon him cannot be accepted.

7. However, it is evident from the material available on record that, even for the purpose of conducting the preliminary inquiry, the petitioner was not served with any written notice or summons requiring his appearance before the police. In such circumstances, it would be appropriate to direct the respondents, particularly respondent No.4, to follow the procedure prescribed by law while requiring the presence of the petitioner, so as to address the grievance raised in the present writ petition.

8. Accordingly, respondent No.4 is directed to secure the presence of the petitioner, if his appearance is considered necessary, only upon serving an appropriate notice in accordance with law. It is further directed that respondent No.4 shall not interfere in the disputes between the petitioner and respondent No.5 in any manner, except by taking such steps as may be warranted strictly in accordance with law and by following the due process prescribed thereunder.

9. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-/ A.H.S.GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad, State of Telangana.
2. The Director General of Police, State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Khammam District, Telangana State.
4. The Circle Inspector of Police, Wyra Police Station, Khammam District.
5. One CC to SRI HARI CHANDRA ADITYA, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

PMK
PB

HIGH COURT

DATED: 27/10/2026

ORDER

WP.No.34962 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION

WITHOUT COSTS

10 copies
19
11/11/26