IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 33624 OF 2026

Between:

1. Manga Sugunavva, W/o Manga Sailoo, age 55 years, Occ : Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy District.
2. Manga Nagamani, W/o Prakash, age 58 years, Occ: Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy district.
3. Manga Satyavva, W/o Manga Balaiah age. 55 years, Occ : Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy district.
4. Manga Durgaiah, S/o Isthari, age.50 years, Occ : Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy district.
5. Manga Shantavva, W/o Ishaq, age.54 years, Occ : Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal,Kamareddy district.
6. Manga Margavva, W/o sanjevulu, age.50 years, Occ ; Agriculture, R/o Gorgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy district.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat at Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Kamareddy District.
3. The Forest Range Officer, Banswada, Kamareddy District.
4. The Tahsildar, Gadwal Mandal, Kamareddy District.
5. The Deputy Surveyor, Survey and land Department Nizam SagarMandal Kamareddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction declaring the action of the Respondents.3 and 4 without considering the objection

petition dated 02-04-2026 of petitioners that already survey was conducted pertinent to Survey No.171 and fixed boundaries situated at Gorgal village Nizamsagar Mandal Kamareddy district on 09-06-2010, the Respondent No.4 submitted a letter No.T/2348/2008 Dated 29-07-2010 to respondent No.3. The Respondent No.3 issued Notice No.file No.B/169/2026 Dated 28-09-2026 for conducting survey on 06-10-2026 and that already conducted Joint survey by the respondent No.3 to 5 Dated 09-06-2010 and fixed the boundaries and made Trenches pertain to the forest compartment No.299 Nizam sagar reserve forest and without considering the same they against issued notice for conducting survey is illegal and the action of the respondent. No.3 to 5 as illegal, arbitrary, high handedness and against the principles of natural justice and violative of Articles 14, 16,19 (1) (g), 21, 300 A and 301 of the Constitution of India.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2026

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings Notice No.file No.B/169/2026 Dated 28-09-2026 for conducting survey on 06¬10-2026 pertain to petitioner land in Survey No.171 Situated at Gourgal village, Nizamsagar Mandal Kamareddy district pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI G.RAJESHWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 & 5 : Ms. DARA HARITHA KIRAN, AGP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : AGP FOR FORESTS

The Court made the following ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.33624 of 2026
(CNR No.HBHC010690082026)

Date: 06.10.2026

Between:

Manga Sugunavva,
and 5 others.

... Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by its Principal Secretary
Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad,
and 4 others.

... Respondents

ORDER:

The petitioners are aggrieved by the action of respondents without considering the objection petition dated 02.04.2026 filed by the petitioners, in issuing notice by the Mandal Surveyor, Nizamsagar Mandal, vide No.B/169/2026, dated 28.09.2026 for conducting survey on 06.10.2026 in respect of the land admeasuring Ac.6.28 guntas in Survey No.171 situated at Gorgal Village Nizamsagar Mandal, Kamareddy District (hereinafter referred to as 'subject land'), as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Heard Mr. G. Rajeshwar Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Dara Haritha Kiran, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 & 5 and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Forests appearing for respondent

No.3. With the consent of both the parties, the writ petition is being taken up for disposal. Perused the entire record.

3. The brief facts of the case as stated in the writ affidavit are that petitioner No.1 claims to be the owner and possessor of land admeasuring Ac.1.00 guntas, petitioner No.2 claims to be the owner and possessor of land admeasuring Ac.1.28 guntas and petitioner Nos.3 to 6 claim to be owners and possessors of land admeasuring one acre each, total extent–Ac.6.28 guntas in Survey No.171. The subject assigned land was allotted to the petitioners under the Land Distribution Scheme in July-August 2005 by the erstwhile Government of Andhra Pradesh, vide RDS No.A.690 of 2005. It is submitted that a joint survey was already conducted by the respondent Nos.3 to 5 and boundaries were fixed in respect of the subject land on 09.06.2010. In this regard, the respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Gadwal Mandal submitted a letter No.B/2348/2008, dated 29.07.2010 to the respondent No.3-Forest Range Officer, Banswada informing about the conduct of panchanma and making Tranches pertaining to the Forest Compartment No.299, Nizamsagar Reserve Forest along with survey report and location map. The petitioners submitted objection petition to the respondent authorities on 02.04.2026. It is further submitted that respondent No.3 and his staff trespassed into the subject land on 30.09.2012 and also lodged complaint against the petitioners and that the police registered a criminal case against the petitioners, vide FIR No.84 of 2012 for the

offences u/s.307, 447, 332 and 290 r/w Sec.34 of IPC and the same was numbered as S.C.No.199 of 2012 and that the trial Court convicted the petitioners in the said case and later when the petitioners preferred Crl.A.No.18 of 2014 before the appellate Court, the same was allowed and the petitioners are acquitted. The petitioners also lodged a complaint against the respondent No.3. It is further submitted that without considering the objection petition dated 02.04.2026 filed by the petitioner, the Mandal Surveyor issued notice No.B/169/2026, dated 28.09.2026 for conducting survey again on 06.10.2026. Aggrieved by the same, the present writ petition is filed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has placed copies of pattadar passbooks issued by the Revenue Department in respect of the subject land and submits that a joint survey was conducted and boundaries were fixed in the subject land on 09.06.2010 and petitioners filed objection petition on 02.04.2026. However, without considering the same, the Mandal Surveyor issued notice No.B/169/2026, dated 28.09.2026 for conducting survey again on 06.10.2026.

5. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Forests would submit that the objection petition is given by the petitioners on 02.04.2026, which is before issuing the impugned notice dated 28.09.2026 and if the petitioners file fresh objection petition to the notice dated 28.09.2026, the same will be taken into consideration

and appropriate orders would be passed by the respondent authorities and prayed this Court to pass appropriate orders in this writ petition.

6. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners would make fresh objection petition to the impugned notice dated 28.09.2026 along with copies of pattadar passbooks before the respondent authorities and prayed this Court to pass appropriate orders in this writ petition.

7. In that view of the matter, recording the aforesaid submissions and with the consent of the learned counsel on either side, without going into the merits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant liberty to the petitioners to file fresh objection petition to the impugned notice dated 28.09.2026, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order and on such filing of fresh objection petition, the respondent authorities are directed to consider the fresh objection petition and after putting notice to all the concerned/affected/interested parties and after verifying the pattadar passbooks and relevant documents and on proper enquiry pass appropriate orders strictly in accordance with law and the same shall be communicated to the petitioners and other interested parties. Till such time, the respondent authorities shall not dispossess the petitioners from the subject land. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of Eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of fresh objection petition.

8. It is made clear that if the petitioners are aggrieved by such proceedings, they are at liberty to work out their remedies as available under law before the appropriate forum.

9. With the above observation and direction, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- K. VANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Kamareddy District.
3. The Forest Range Officer, Banswada, Kamareddy District.
4. The Tahasildar, Gadwal Mandal, Kamareddy District.
5. The Deputy Surveyor, Survey and land Department Nizam SagarMandal Kamareddy District.
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
7. Two CCs to GP FOR FORESTS, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
8. One CC to SRI G.RAJESHWAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
9. Two CD Copies

SA

PVL

C.C.TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED: 06/10/2026



ORDER

WP.No.33624 of 2026

DISPOSING OF THE W.P

WITHOUT COSTS.

13
PVL
15/10/2026