IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.1078 of 2026

(CNR.No. HBHC010644812026)

DATED 11.11.2026

Between:

Soma Suresh @ Soma Chandan Kumar

..Appellant-accused No.5

And

1.The State of Telangana,

.... Respondent No.1-State

2.Smt. Yerra Vani

....Respondent No.2-Complainant

JUDGMENT

1. This Memorandum of Criminal Appeal is filed under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (POA) Act, 1989 praying this Court to enlarge the appellant on bail who is arrayed as accused No.5 in connection with Crime No.1135 of 2025 of Shadnagar Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate. The offences alleged against the appellant-accused No.5 are punishable under Sections 103(2), 140(1), 238, 61(2) r/w 3(5) of BNS and Section 3(2)(v) of SC/ST (POA) Amendment Act, 2015.

2. Heard Sri K. Karuna Sagar, learned counsel for appellant, and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for respondent No.1– State.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant-A5 is falsely implicated in the case and is nothing to do with the alleged offence. Appellant-A5 is arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.11.2025. Bail application filed by A5 before the learned Sessions Court came to be dismissed on 11.09.2026, entire investigation is completed, charge sheet came to be filed on 09.02.2026, detaining the Appellant-A5 further in judicial custody will not serve the purpose and prayed to enlarge the petitioner-A5 on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that charge sheet came to be filed on 09.02.2026 and PRC number is awaited.

5. Notice got issued to the complainant is served, proof is filed to that effect.

6. Smt. Y. Vani has lodged a report before P.S. Shadnagar on 13.11.2025. It is stated in the complaint that she and her husband were at home, one Venkatesh along with three other men came near their house, they called her husband outside to have a discussion, without informing her or giving any explanation,

holding grudges from past matters, they have took her husband into a vehicle and kidnapped him. Basing on the said complaint, FIR came to be registered.

7. Appellant-A5 along with A1 to A3 and A6 to A8 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.11.2025.

8. Appellant-A5 has filed Crl.M.P.No.1352 of 2026 for grant of regular bail before the learned Sessions Court, which came to be dismissed vide order dated 11.09.2026 with an observation that there is every possibility that he may meddle and threaten the victim and other witnesses.

9. Since charge sheet came to be filed on 09.02.2026, the question of influencing the witnesses by the Appellant-A55 does not arise. Hence, Appellant-A5 is entitled to be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

i. The Appellant-A5 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Shadnagar.

ii. The Appellant-A5 shall not involve in similar offence and shall cooperate in disposing of the case.

iii. The Appellant-A5 shall not influence the witnesses.

iv. In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.

10. Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO, J

Date: 11.11.2026
TU

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.1078 of 2026

(CNR.No. HBHC010644812026)

DATED 11.11.2026

TU