IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE JUVVADI SRIDEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.15297 of 2026
(CNR No.HBHC010643252026)

DATED: 13TH NOVEMBER, 2026

Between:
Chepuri Ramesh Goud … Petitioner-Accused No.1

AND

Union of India

…Respondent

ORDER :

Petitioner/accused No.1 has filed this criminal petition seeking bail in Cr.No.NCB F.No.IV/4/31/Cr.No.11/2026/HYD on the file of Narcotics Control Bureau, Hyderabad Zonal for the offences under Section 8 (c) read with Section 22 (c), 28 and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short "NDPS Act").

02. Heard Sri P.Vishnuvardhana Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner-accused No.1 and Sri Naraparaju Avaneesh, learned Standing Counsel for Narcotic Control Board appearing for the respondent-State.

03. The case of the prosecution is that, on 14.05.2026, at about 2100 hours, the complainant allegedly received information that the petitioner would arrive near Kalakunta Colony, Siddipet

Outer Ring Road, on 15.05.2026, at about 1400 hours, in his Denim Blue Hyundai car bearing Registration No. TS 22 A 6336, carrying a substantial quantity of Alprazolam, and would hand over the same to accused No.2, who would arrive in a Magna Grey Maruti Baleno car bearing Registration No. TS 07 GZ 9964, along with cash. It is the further case of the prosecution that, on 15.05.2026, the NCB team intercepted both vehicles and allegedly recovered three ags containing light brownish powder, weighing 39.470 Kgs. The NCB team also allegedly recovered net cash of Rs.85,36,200/- from accused No.2.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner/accused No.1 submits that the petitioner/accused No.1 has been falsely implicated in the case and has nothing to do with the alleged offences. It is further submitted that the petitioner/accused No.1 was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.05.2026. He further submits that the investigation has been completed and the charge sheet has also been filed. It is also submitted that accused Nos.2 and 3 have already been enlarged on bail. Hence, he prays that the petitioner/accused No.1 may be enlarged on bail.

05. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the bail application contending that that there

are specific allegations against the petitioner/accused No.1, and hence, prayed to dismiss the application.

06. As seen from the record, it appears that the investigation has been completed and the charge sheet has also been filed. Further, the petitioner/accused No.1 has been in judicial custody since 15.05.2026 and in view of the fact that charge sheet has already been filed, custody of the petitioner-accused No.1 is not longer required. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner/accused No.1 can be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

i. **The petitioner/accused No.1 shall be released on bail on his executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- with two sureties, for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Court to Try Offences under the NDPS Act, 1985, Siddipet.**
ii. **The petitioner-accused No.1 shall appear before the learned trial Court as and when the case is posted and shall cooperate with the disposal of the main case.**
iii. **The petitioner-accused No.1 shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 480(3) of BNSS.**
iv. **The petitioner-accused No.1 shall not involve in any similar offences.**

v. **It is made clear that if any of the conditions imposed by this Court are violated, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail.**

07. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

JUVVADI SRIDEVI, J

Date: 13-NOV-2026
Ksk/khrm