**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**
**AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.15314 of 2026**

**(CNR.No. HBHC010643102026)**

**DATE: 06.10.2026**

**BETWEEN:**

Bejjanki Anil Kumar and another

.....Petitioners-Accused No.1 and 5

And

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO Jagtial Town PS,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana.

.....Respondent-State

**ORDER**

1. This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') praying this Court to enlarge the petitioners on bail who is arrayed as accused Nos.1 and 5 in connection with FIR No.83 of 2026 of Jagtial Town Police Station, Jagtial District, alleged for the offences punishable under Sections 140(2), 127(2), 109(1), 308(5) and 351(2) read with 190 of the BNS, 2023.

2. Heard Sri Alluri Divakar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent–State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.09.2026, since then they are in jail. Police have altered the Section of law to Sections 140(2), 127(2), 109(1), 308(5) and 351(2) read with 190 of the BNS, 2023 on 15.09.2026 only to confine the petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 in jail. There is a delay of six months from the date of registration of crime and the Police has altered the Section of law on 15.09.2026. Police has already examined all the witnesses and also drafted confession and seizure panchanama of accused Nos.1 to 6. Petitioner No.1-accused No.1 is working as School Assistant and he is falsely implicated in the case. Petitioner No.2-accused No.5 is suffering with liver problem, he is also falsely implicated in the case and prayed to enlarge the petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 on bail.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 have played a key role in the case and their involvement is clearly depicted in the remand case diary.

5. Ms.M.Lavanya, has lodged a report before P.S., Jagtial Town on 19.02.2026. It is stated in the complaint that on the above said date at about 16:30 hours, her husband M.Maruthi left the house on white colour scooty, he parked his scooty near Gangaputra Sangam at Vani Nagar, he did not return back. Basing on the said complaint, FIR came to be registered as man missing against unknown accused.

6. It is to be noted here that petitioner No.1-accused No.1 has lodged a report before P.S., Jagtial on 15.09.2026 against M.Maruthi and others. It is stated in the complaint lodged by petitioner No.1-accused No.1 that they have invested approximately Rs.12.50 crores, out of which Rs.7 crores was returned and Rs.5 crores remained unpaid. Basing on the said complaint, FIR.No.510 of 2026 came to be registered against M.Maruthi (accused No.1), K.Janardhan (accused No.2), Mohan (accused No.3) and Irfan (accused No.4).

7. In the present crime, petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 along with accused Nos.2, 3, 4 and 6 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.09.2026, since then they are in jail. Accused No.8-S.Srikanth is shown as absconding in the remand case diary.

8. In the remand case diary in internal page No.6 it is mentioned that “At about 00:50 AM on 20.02.2026, Anil Rao left him at the same place from where he had been kidnapped and went away in the same car. Before leaving, Anil Rao informed to his friend P.Srinivas over phone call to come and take his friend away. Anil Rao attacked on him due to his previous disputes with regard to the business transactions”.

9. Remand case diary goes to show that respondent has altogether examined 12 witnesses in support of the case.

10. Insofar as the case pending against petitioner No.2-accused No.5 in Crime No.148 of 2024, which is settled before the lok adalath on 28.09.2024.

11. Since major portion of the investigation is completed, detaining the petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 further in judicial custody will not serve the purpose. Hence, petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 are entitled to be enlarged on bail, subject to the following conditions:

i. The petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the I Additional Judicial Magistrate First Class at Jagtial.

ii. The petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., on every Sunday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

iv. The petitioners-accused Nos.1 and 5 shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.

v. It is made clear that if any of the above conditions are violated, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail.

12. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

_____

**B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO, J**

Date: 06.10.2026
pss

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.R.MADHUSUDHAN RAO**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.15314 of 2026**

**(CNR.No. HBHC010643102026)**

**DATE: 06.10.2026**

pss